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MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR

Sir Emyr Jones Parry
GCMG, PH.D. FINST.P, PLSW

I am delighted to introduce REDRESS’ Annual Report for the year ended 31 March 2016, which provides a comprehensive account of the wide range of our work.

This year we faced a significant downward spiral in the respect for human rights and dignity in many parts of the world. The enormous scale of human suffering tends to encourage governments to shy away from universal principles in the name of sovereignty, national security and economy. Yet just the opposite is required. The deplorable state of human rights in the world today underscores the need to strengthen our collective resolve to promote the universal values of tolerance, respect for human dignity and the rule of law. All possible steps must be taken to combat torture and other heinous abuses – wherever they occur and whoever is perpetrating them.

This is the work for REDRESS and other NGOs but it is also the work of governments, international organizations, the media and the public at large. Now more than ever, there is a need to join forces in order to make the necessary progress.

MESSAGE FROM THE DIRECTOR

Dr Carla Ferstman

I am honoured to lead the committed and talented team at REDRESS, to work with some of the most dynamic civil society groups around the world and to have the opportunity to make a difference in the lives of some of the most vulnerable people who have suffered torture, one of the most horrific abuses of human rights.

A review of this Annual Report will show that more and more of our clients are receiving positive judgments from human rights courts and related tribunals. More and more survivors and lawyers working on their behalf in all regions of the world have joined forces with REDRESS to pursue remedies for torture and related international crimes. Impunity remains a deep-seated problem in many countries where we work but with the combined efforts of REDRESS and other organisations, it is a problem that can be confronted and progressively can be overcome. We are making important progress, but there is much more that we need to do.

The wider context in which torture is perpetrated and in which the plight of survivors is routinely ignored means that we must redouble our efforts. The precedents we set must be translated into solid institutional changes on the ground and we must fight against divisiveness and work to strengthen public solidarity for all who suffer this horrific crime. No one should be subjected to torture, regardless of who they are, what they are accused of and where they come from. No one should escape punishment for torture. No one should be sent to a country where they face a real risk of torture. This is not only the law; it is part and parcel of the fundamental values that underpin our societies.

I am grateful to the Chair and all the trustees of REDRESS for their continued support and to REDRESS’ founder and Honorary President Keith Carmichael for his vision and to the staff for their daily efforts to realise this vision.

REDRESS is fortunate to work with a range of lawyers, academics, students and volunteers all of whom are invaluable to our efforts. Thank you as well to the range of foundations, institutions, governments and individuals who continue to see value in funding our work.
ABOUT REDRESS

REDRESS seeks justice and reparation for survivors of torture and related international crimes. It carries out litigation, research-based advocacy and capacity building, designed to strengthen the conditions necessary to end impunity for torture and afford survivors redress.

REDRESS prioritises the interests and perspectives of survivors in all aspects of its work. The highest priority in decisions and interventions is given to promoting survivors’ well-being and the avoidance of further traumatisation.

Collaborating with like-minded organisations is at the centre of REDRESS’ ethos. More can be achieved when forces are joined. Sharing of expertise within and between cultures and continents is a value that REDRESS seeks to promote as a goal in and of itself and as a means to maximise impact.

VISION

A World without Torture

MISSION

To seek justice for survivors of torture; to combat impunity for governments and individuals who perpetrate it; and to develop and promote compliance with international standards

CASEWORK

We provide direct legal assistance to individuals and communities that have suffered torture and related international crimes in securing their rights. We provide legal advice, litigation support and representation to survivors in all regions of the world.

ADVOCACY

We seek to positively influence policies, practices, laws and standards to enable justice and reparation for survivors.

CAPACITY BUILDING

Working in partnership with like-minded organisations, REDRESS provides technical assistance and support to civil society organisations and networks as well as national authorities around the world to combat torture and help survivors.
We work along four broad programme areas to advance our mission:

**CASEWORK**: to provide direct legal support to torture survivors to obtain justice and redress

**JUSTICE IN THE CONTEXT OF MASS VICTIMISATION**: to obtain justice and redress for torture survivors in situations of violent conflict, or in those societies emerging from, or having emerged from, a period of mass human rights violations

**INFLUENCING NATIONAL LAWS AND INSTITUTIONS**: working collaboratively to promote strong national legal frameworks and institutions that reflect international standards and are enforced in practice

**PROMOTING INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS**: to promote and strengthen international standards and regional and international institutions that reflect survivors’ rights to justice and reparation
PROGRAMMES

CASEWORK

REDRESS has approximately 100 open cases files related to about 1,000 torture survivors. These cases concern a vast array of countries:

**Americas:** Chile; Colombia; Mexico; Panama; Peru; United States of America

**Asia:** Bahrain; India; Iran; Nepal; Philippines; Saudi Arabia; Sri Lanka; Turkey; United Arab Emirates; Uzbekistan

**Middle East and North Africa:** Algeria; Egypt; Libya; Morocco

**Sub-Saharan Africa Cameroon:** Chad; Democratic Republic of the Congo; Ethiopia; Gambia; Kenya; Rwanda; Sudan; Tanzania; Uganda; Zimbabwe

**Europe:** Cyprus; France; Lithuania; Poland; Spain; Switzerland; Ukraine; United Kingdom

Helping torture survivors is central to REDRESS' mandate. We provide wide-ranging legal advice and support to survivors to help them achieve adequate and effective remedies. We pursue prosecutions, take civil claims for compensation, pursue consular support and the exercise of diplomatic protection, take administrative claims against public bodies relating to the failure to carry out diligently their mandates and provide support to survivors to access national human rights commissions and/or other inquiry processes operating in the country.

REDRESS also uses its good offices to assist survivors to make contact with government officials, whether to obtain apologies or other measures or to assist them to access primary support. Internationally, REDRESS uses regional and international courts and related quasi- and non-judicial mechanisms when local remedies fail. In addition to direct litigation work, REDRESS provides support and advice to local and/or international lawyers on points of law and the development of legal strategies and frequently acts as amicus curiae (friend of the court) on areas in which it has expertise.

These cases are important to the individuals and communities directly concerned; they also serve the additional purpose of advancing the rule of law and the fight against torture worldwide.

REDRESS’ cases concern women, men and child survivors of torture, committed during relative peace and in times of conflict. The survivors can be suspects of ordinary crimes, tortured to obtain confessions. Or, they may be human rights defenders, protesters and others tortured to quash dissent, or persons from marginalised ethnic, religious or other minorities tortured to keep them in submission. Torture is indiscriminate. It affects all strata of society.

Some case highlights in 2015-16

**NEPAL**

The UN Human Rights Committee decided the case of eight youths from the Tharu indigenous community in Bardiya district, who were taken by a large group of soldiers from their village during the conflict and never seen again. The Committee said what happened amounted to torture, given the anguish and stress caused to the families by the disappearances; they didn’t receive sufficient explanation about the circumstances surrounding their alleged deaths, nor have they received their remains.

“Nepal must also take steps to prevent similar violations in the future.”

In a strongly worded ruling, the Committee determined that Nepal was required to conduct a thorough and effective investigation into the disappearances and provide the families with detailed information about the results of its investigation; if the 8 youths are dead, locate their remains and hand them over to their families; prosecute and punish those responsible; provide any necessary and adequate rehabilitation and treatment to the families and effective reparation, including adequate compensation and appropriate measures of satisfaction. Nepal must also take steps to prevent similar violations in the future.
Egypt

Essam Atta worked as a shoemaker until his arrest in 2011. Prison authorities allegedly forced a hose with running water into Essam’s mouth and anus, causing severe internal bleeding which eventually is believed to have resulted in his death.

Despite multiple attempts by his family, Egyptian authorities have failed to properly investigate Essam’s death and prosecute those responsible for the torture.

REDRESS, Egyptian Initiative for Personal Rights and the El Nadim Center for Rehabilitation of Victims of Violence are pursuing Essam’s case before the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights.

Peru

Yefri Edgar Peña Tuánama is a young transsexual who was tortured by a group of five unknown individuals while returning home at night in Lima, Peru. She was beaten and cut with a blade to her face. She managed to escape and reached two police officers who were patrolling the streets. They refused to help her and insulted her for her sexual orientation. Yefri’s attackers caught up with her and beat her again until people in the street helped her and brought her to the hospital where she underwent plastic surgery.

REDRESS, La Coordinadora Nacional de Derechos Humanos and PROMSEX have lodged a criminal complaint.

Uzbekistan

Mutabar Tadjibayeva, one of Uzbekistan’s most well-known human rights defenders, was beaten and gang-raped to provoke her silence and eventually arrested in 2005. She was severely tortured, including by being beaten, hung from a hook, forced to stand naked in the cold until she fell unconscious, and encouraged by prison guards to commit suicide. She was also forced to undergo an operation to remove her uterus. Up to this day, she has not been able to see her full medical records or know the reason for her operation.

REDRESS and the International Federation for Human Rights brought Mutabar’s case to the attention of the UN Human Rights Committee.

She was nominated for the Nobel Peace Prize in 2005 and in 2008 she received the Martin Ennals Award for Human Rights Defenders. She was freed in June 2008, after intensive efforts by diplomats and human rights organisations. REDRESS and the International Federation for Human Rights brought Mutabar’s case to the attention of the UN Human Rights Committee. In 2015, it ruled that she was subjected to torture, and the Government’s actions also amounted to discrimination on the basis of her sex and on the grounds of political or other opinion.

Sudan

Following REDRESS, the African Centre for Justice and Peace Studies and others’ interventions, prominent human rights lawyer Dr Amin Mekki Medani and political opposition activist Mr Farouq Abu Eissa (both in their late 70s) who had been “detained after signing the Sudan call”, a declaration that commits signatories to work towards the end of the conflicts in Sudan and legal, institutional and economic reforms in the country, were released from prison with all charges dropped.

Mexico

Olivier Acuña Barba is a Mexican anti-corruption journalist who was detained, tortured and eventually forced to flee the country because of his work.

REDRESS is helping Olivier to seek accountability for his torture before the Inter-American human rights system.

Redress and the International Federation for Human Rights brought Mutabar’s case to the attention of the UN Human Rights Committee.
**Libya**

A Libyan of the discriminated Tawergha community was taken captive by government supported militia. He and his family members were all shot at and he was the only survivor, and is now paralysed. REDRESS and Lawyers for Justice in Libya brought his claim to the attention of the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights.

The Commission will have the opportunity to consider what might be an appropriate remedy, considering the seriousness of the violation, the lasting paralysis and his continued marginalisation.

It will also decide numerous additional critical issues for Libya, including the problem of discrimination against minority groups and the responsibility of the State for militia groups that receive salary payments from the State.

**Lithuania, Poland, United States of America**

Mustafa al-Hawsawi, detained in Guantanamo Bay, faces a military commission trial and the death penalty for his alleged and disputed role of helping to finance the 11 September 2001 attacks. The redacted summary of the US Senate Intelligence Committee report indicates that he was subjected to water dousing and/or water-boarding, sleep deprivation, ‘rectal rehydration’ and/or rectal exams conducted with ‘excessive force’ which resulted in chronic haemorrhoids, an anal fissure, and symptomatic rectal prolapse.

The report singles out Mr al-Hawsawi as one of a number of individuals who were detained under the CIA’s rendition and secret detention programme ‘despite doubts and questions surrounding [his] knowledge of terrorist threats and the location of senior al-Qa’ida leadership’.

REDRESS is working to clarify the role of a number of States including Poland and Lithuania where he is believed to have been detained and interrogated prior to being ‘rendered’ to Guantanamo Bay.

**We are working to ensure that those responsible for his torture, illegal detention and transfers will be held accountable and to prevent similar incidents from occurring in the future.**

We have brought proceedings to the European Court of Human Rights against Poland and expect to soon be doing the same against Lithuania. We have also conducted advocacy before European Institutions and at the United Nations in order to secure his access to medical treatment and to maintain pressure on States in Europe to investigate renditions and torture.

**Some of our amicus curiae filings this year**

Amicus curiae filings are legal briefs that provide analysis to courts to assist them in their decision-making. These submissions are not normally written to support a particular outcome or a party to a case; they are designed to provide the court with specialist information that the court would not otherwise have access to, based on a well-recognised expertise.

REDRESS has regularly been granted permission to file briefs in an array of cases falling within its mandate.

**Democratic Republic of the Congo**

The International Criminal Court convicted Germain Katanga of aiding in the commission of a crime against humanity (murder) and four counts of war crimes (murder, attacking a civilian population, destruction of property and pillaging) in relation to attacks on Bogoro village in the East of the country. The Court has been called upon to order reparations for the victims.

REDRESS applied and was granted leave to intervene in the case, and we submitted observations on factors that the judges should consider when determining an appropriate reparations award, such as the appropriateness of group or individual reparations, and how courts have dealt with challenges that may impact the effectiveness of reparation programmes, including those relating to a geographically dispersed group of victims, limited funds available for reparation, and the identification of victims.
Hector Casique was severely tortured by police who arrested him and tried to get him to confess to a triple murder charge. His mother and others who have tried to assist him have been under constant threats. REDRESS submitted a detailed brief to the Mexican court entertaining Hector’s criminal prosecution on the inability to use evidence obtained by torture.

Abdul-Hakim Belhaj and his wife Fatima Bouchar allege that British officials were involved in their abduction and illegal transfer to Libya, under the CIA rendition programme, in 2004. They filed a civil suit in UK courts against those they hold responsible.

In December 2013, the High Court struck out the lawsuit, holding that since the claim called into question activities of a foreign state on its own territory the act of state doctrine precluded the court from hearing the case. The Court rejected the UK Government’s argument that state immunity (a principle of international law by which a state is protected from being sued in the courts of other states) operated as a bar to the claim.

REDRESS, together with Amnesty International, the International Commission of Jurists and JUSTICE jointly filed written interventions before the Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court, on the UK’s international obligations on the right of access to a court and the right to an effective remedy and reparation and the absolute prohibition of torture.

Luis Ismael Ugaz Velasquez was subjected to a severe episode of police violence in the district of Lambayeque in northern Peru. While at the Lambayeque police station and during his identification, Mr. Ugaz was dragged inside the police station by his hair, beaten with batons, kicked and insulted. He was also accused of possessing drugs. He was finally released after his father and lawyer arrived at the police station.

Subsequent to this violent incident, Mr. Ugaz was subjected to hostility from one police officer involved, in the street, who threatened to “burn” him. He has been physically and psychologically affected by these events. REDRESS submitted a brief to the Court, in which we argued that even though the Prosecutor only charged ill-treatment (and not torture), the obligations incumbent on the state are those related to torture, given that the facts properly construed would amount to torture.

One of the reasons why the Prosecutor did not charge torture relates to the defective medical legal reports produced by Peruvian officials. Consequently, we also contracted an independent assessment of the medical-legal report.
Countries currently facing, or emerging from, situations of massive human rights violations face particular challenges in affording justice and redress to victims. The context in which torture occurs, the scale of the crime, who perpetrates it, why and how it is perpetrated and who are the victims can also differ significantly from that of relatively peaceful or stable environments.

Furthermore, in an immediate post-conflict context, there may be distinct opportunities to progress justice and accountability. However, transitional justice approaches may not always address the deep-seated causes and consequences of victimisation. Also, the political context in which such measures are developed may result in ad hoc or partial responses which may not always result in effective and adequate reparation.

REDRESS’ focus on justice for victims is vital to bring to the table, given that this perspective is often absent and rarely articulated in the wake of major societal conflict.
Victim participation and reparations

REDRESS worked with partners in a range of countries to assess victim participation frameworks in post-conflict contexts and to advocate for greater inclusion of victims. We carried out a major study on victim participation in criminal law proceedings, together with the Institute for Security Studies based in Pretoria.

The report identified the strategies employed by a wide array of countries to engage victims in criminal law proceedings and recommended ways in which procedures could be further enhanced. We also worked with the international crimes division of the Ugandan High Court and comparable criminal justice institutions in Kenya to strengthen victims’ access to the procedures, and engaged with formal transitional justice institutions in Ivory Coast to advance victims’ concerns, including in relation to the Government’s draft reparations policy.

In Chad, we have been working with victims’ advocates to prepare recommendations on the establishment of a trust fund for Hissene Habré’s victims and to frame reparation requests to the Extraordinary African Chambers. We also provided input to the Colombian Ministry of Justice transitional justice unit’s ongoing reflection on how to engage companies and other non-state actors in the transitional justice process in the country.

Justice for sexual violence in conflict

The massive scale problem of sexual violence in conflict continued to be a focus for REDRESS. We worked with partners in Kenya, Uganda and the Democratic Republic of the Congo to support victims with their cases before the courts, provided training to victims’ advocates on supporting justice responses and advocated for more sustained responses from policymakers and institutions in the region.

We also provided evidence to the United Kingdom’s Parliamentary Inquiry on the Prevention of Sexual Violence Initiative, which was set up to review the William Hague and Angelina Jolie initiative and global conference in London and to determine useful roles for the UK Government going forward.
Advocacy before the International Criminal Court

The International Criminal Court is a key mechanism with the potential to address some of the worst modern-day atrocities and afford justice to the many victims. REDRESS has a longstanding interest in the progressive development of the International Criminal Court as an institution and its capacity to deliver justice for international crimes.

REDRESS continues to coordinate the Victims’ Rights Working Group, an informal global network of experts and advocates working to promote justice for victims at the ICC, operating under the auspices of the NGO Coalition for the International Criminal Court. This year, our work on the International Criminal Court focused on:

PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION

REDRESS helped the Prosecutor to arrange a consultation meeting on her new strategy on case selection and prosecutorial discretion. We prepared inputs on the selection of cases, charges and their prioritisation, all of which have important consequences for victims of crimes under the ICC’s jurisdiction and for their perception of the Court.

Only victims of the cases pursued and of the charges brought are able to participate in the proceedings and obtain reparation, in the event of a conviction. When cases do not represent the full extent of the victimisation or present a skewed version of the crime patterns, justice may not be seen to be done and tension may arise between groups of victims who feel left out or between different segments of the society that feel unfairly targeted.

In addition, a lack of information on why the OTP is pursuing one case over another, or only certain charges, can give the impression of a lack of transparency which can result in the Court no longer being perceived as an impartial and independent avenue capable of affording justice to victims and combating impunity.

LEGAL REPRESENTATION FOR VICTIMS

We released a new report on victim legal representation. The report followed an expert seminar we convened at the Court on the current framework governing the appointment of legal representatives of victims as well as Common Legal Representatives of Victims, and identified a number of areas for the Court to improve its procedures.

We addressed what we considered to be the key factors governing the selection of counsel for victims and analysed victims’ limited opportunities to challenge such appointments. We also analysed the practice of legal representation. We assessed whether there may be a need to better spell out lawyers’ roles and responsibilities, and reviewed the difficulties faced by counsel when communicating and taking instructions from victims and how to overcome them. We considered whether additional monitoring mechanisms may help, and what these might look like, and/or whether the current disciplinary framework is adequate to address actual or perceived under performance.

REPARATIONS

REDRESS has a longstanding interest in the International Criminal Court’s procedures and practices for affording reparation to victims. We continue to engage with the Registry and the Trust Fund for Victims to progress their policies and implement reparations for victims. We submitted observations in the Katanga case on factors that the judges should consider when determining an appropriate reparations award, and continue to advocate for the Court to finalise reparations in the Court’s first concluded case against Thomas Lubanga.
Influencing National Laws and Standards

REDRESS’ global anti-torture work

REDRESS works to ensure that international standards relating to the prohibition of torture and reparation for survivors of torture and related international crimes are applied at the national level. We strive to inform and influence policies and practices through expert analysis and recommendations on a range of thematic issues linked to the prohibition of torture and survivor’s rights.

REDRESS works to overcome obstacles to justice in countries where torture is endemic by ensuring that international standards are applied at national level. REDRESS achieves this objective through partnerships with local organisations; developing joint strategies that involve capacity building for civil society as well as government actors; advocacy towards national policy makers and litigation to seek justice and reparation for survivors and establish useful precedent for later cases.

REDRESS has formal partnerships with key organisations in Europe, Sub-Saharan Africa, Central and South America, Middle East and North Africa and Asia which serve as cornerstones to REDRESS’ work.

This year, we placed significant emphasis on strengthening legislative frameworks to prevent torture. We carried out an Africa-wide project in which we worked with local partners in a range of African countries, as well as the African Commission’s Committee for the Prevention of Torture in Africa, to assess ongoing law reform efforts and to identify best practice. On the basis of this research, we provided detailed commentary to the Namibian and Nigerian law reform commissions on their efforts to reform anti-torture legislation. We also commented on draft amendments to the Constitution in Libya, new anti-torture draft provisions in Costa Rica, and South Sudan’s new security legislation.

We also worked with local partners to train and build the capacity of lawyers, civil society groups and government officials on methods to prevent and respond to torture allegations. This year, we worked with Eastern Horn of Africa Human Rights Defenders Project on a training for its members on support to human rights defenders who are detained and risk torture. We also carried out anti-torture and related training for civil society and/or government officials and participated in meetings in Colombia, Greece, Israel, ivory Coast, Kenya, Libya, Maldives, Nepal, Nigeria, Peru, Zimbabwe.

We also worked with local partners to engage regional and international human rights bodies to draw attention to particular problem areas and to advocate for their greater engagement of governments. We worked with partners in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya and Uganda to address the Secretariat of the International Conference on the Great Lakes Region (ICGLR) on the implementation of the Kampala Declaration on sexual violence in the three countries.

We submitted briefings to the United Nations Committee Against Torture on Lithuania (on accountability for secret detention on terror suspects and rendition) and the United States of America. We submitted input to the Human Rights Committee on its preparation of the list of issues for Kenya. As part of this work, we collaborated and sought input from a range of other organisations which resulted in them joining our brief. We submitted input to the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women on the United Arab Emirates. We also engaged with the United Nations’ Universal Periodic Review process, providing information on Lithuania, Nepal and Sudan.

Anti-torture work in the United Kingdom

As a UK based organisation, REDRESS also has a dedicated advocacy focus to ensure that the UK Government meets its international obligations and stays true to the absolute prohibition of torture in all respects including ensuring that strong policy level statements which reject torture are substantiated with good practice by all relevant agencies.

This includes how the UK Government responds to torture allegations in its foreign relations as well as its response to allegations which concern UK officials, e.g., the UK Government’s performance in investigating, prosecuting and affording reparation to victims of torture and other prohibited treatment allegedly carried out by or with the acquiescence, acknowledgement or complicity of UK officials (whether they are border guards, security officials, persons in charge of places of detention, military, police or private actors with functions delegated by the State).

It also includes monitoring the performance of the immigration, police and prosecution services as appropriate in detecting persons who are located in the United Kingdom and are alleged to have perpetrated torture or related crimes abroad and ensuring that those persons are duly investigated and prosecuted in accordance with national law and international obligations.

REDRESS also monitors the performance of consular officials and the Foreign and Commonwealth Office more broadly in adequately responding to torture allegations made by British nationals and provides input on how this work may be improved.
This year, REDRESS monitored several accountability processes and provided information to United Nations oversight bodies to encourage greater compliance with the UK Government’s anti-torture obligations:

- The Iraq Historical Allegations Team set up to review allegations of abuse by UK armed forces against Iraqi civilians between 2003 and 2009. These include allegations of torture and war crimes – crimes which are prohibited under international law and which the United Kingdom has proscribed through its implementation of the International Criminal Court (ICC) statute and which the Government is thereby committed to combat wherever those crimes occur. REDRESS expressed concern about statements made by senior government officials that the claims were “spurious” and the individuals and law firms who brought those claims to light are “ambulance-chasing” and encouraged greater transparency in the investigation process.

- REDRESS has monitored investigations into allegations that UK security agencies were complicit in rendition and torture. Investigations were commenced by a judge-led Detainee Inquiry which made only limited progress and was prematurely terminated in January 2012. The Intelligence and Security Committee which is structurally incapable of complying with the UK’s international obligations as it is not sufficiently independent took over the investigation.

The inquiry remains pending with little notable progress achieved to date, having begun its work on the issue in June 2014. REDRESS also intervened in the Belhaj case before the Supreme Court which concerns allegations that British officials were involved in the claimants’ abduction and illegal transfer to Libya, under the CIA rendition programme, in 2004. The case is pending.
PROGRAMMES

Promoting International Standards

REDRESS’ international standard setting work consists in carrying out analytical research on the meaning of existing standards, clarifying standards which are vaguely articulated as well as carrying out advocacy to introduce new standards where the existing ones do not adequately address barriers to justice.

Efforts are aimed at strengthening the international framework (encouraging a transition from soft law to hard law where appropriate); translating these international standards into regional practices; and on implementation in-country. It is particularly important to defend existing standards in the face of an increasingly hostile political environment regarding issues of non-refoulement and even the absolute prohibition on torture.

UN Human Rights Bodies

REDRESS submitted numerous reports and commentary to the UN Human Rights Committee, Committee against Torture, Committee on the Elimination of Violence Against Women and the Working Group on Disappearances. It also engaged actively with UN mandate holders such as the Special Rapporteur on Torture and the Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women. It contributed commentary on the meaning of particular treaty provisions and their application to particular contexts, including on issues as diverse as victim and witness protection, reparation and the enforcement by States of treaty obligations.

During the year, we engaged with the International Law Commission on the efforts to draft a new Crimes against humanity convention, on issues relating to States’ jurisdiction to pursue suspected perpetrators and co-hosted a meeting at Chatham House on Head of State Immunities.

We provided input to the United Nations’ Human Rights Committee on its draft General Comment on the Right to life (focusing on the relationship between the death penalty and torture), and also engaged with the United Nations’ Committee Against Torture on their plans to develop a new general Comment on non-refoulement (the prohibition of sending, transferring, deporting, extraditing or otherwise sending a person to a country where they are at risk of torture).

We also organised a briefing for the UN Committee Against Torture together with Fair Trials International on the subject of Interpol Red Notices and their potential impact on the work of the Committee. The briefing, with representatives of Interpol in attendance, provided an opportunity to discuss Interpol’s review of its regulations in order to reduce risks of the abuse of its notices, and took place a week after Interpol’s decision to remove a Red Notice in one of our cases. It was also an opportunity to discuss and encourage Interpol to take on board the Committee’s jurisprudence on non-refoulement, diplomatic assurances and the impermissibility of using evidence procured by torture.

REDRESS also provided input to the UN Special Rapporteur on Truth, Justice, Reparation and Guarantees of Non-Recurrence on his ongoing work to frame principles on non-recurrence and provided input to the Special Rapporteur on Torture on his thematic report on sexual and gender based violence.

Regional Institutions

In addition to the numerous claims REDRESS has filed with the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights on behalf of victims of torture in Africa, REDRESS is working with the African Commission and the Committee for the Prevention of Torture in Africa to strengthen their approach to victims of torture and related international crimes. REDRESS worked with a number of civil society groups in Africa to assist the Committee for the Prevention of Torture in Africa to draft a General Comment on the right to redress for victims of torture. This landmark standard-setting text has the potential to galvanise support for torture survivors in Africa and clarify States’ obligations to afford reparations. A finalised draft has been prepared and interested States and others have been invited to comment.

REDRESS has also been working jointly with a number of civil society groups to strengthen European institutions for the protection of human rights and to counter impunity. REDRESS is collaborating with and supporting the EU Genocide Network, a network of state investigators and prosecutors working on international crimes cases within the EU. REDRESS has engaged the network on the need to ensure European investigations in follow up to the US Senate Committee report on CIA torture, and on investigations of European-based suspects of crimes against humanity perpetrated in Syria and Iraq.

REDRESS has also engaged with the European Parliament on the need to continue pressure on EU Member States to investigate secret detention and renditions.
Communications serves a number of purposes for REDRESS:

- Improving the visibility of REDRESS and its work in order to showcase the work we are doing and build communities of support;
- Strengthening public awareness of the scale of the problem of torture in order to advance policy agendas, explain why there should be zero tolerance of torture, and provide for a more rehabilitative environment for survivors through greater awareness of victims' experiences, rights and needs;
- Making REDRESS' services known to potential clients, front-line agencies working with torture survivors and civil society groups globally in order to extend our reach.

In the past year, REDRESS’ work has been covered in a variety of media outlets, including major international news outlets and local media in countries affected by REDRESS’ work in multiple languages. Some of the countries which have featured stories about REDRESS and its partners include: Colombia, Iran, Kenya, Nepal, Poland, United Arab Emirates, Spain, Sudan, United Kingdom and the United States. In addition, REDRESS’ social media presence continues to grow, through Facebook and Twitter pages and increased traffic on our website.

We organised a range of activities for 26 June, UN Day in Support of Victims of Torture, including a webinar on torture with several of our partners from Kenya, Libya, Nepal and Peru and with Sir Nigel Rodley, former Special Rapporteur on Torture and REDRESS patron. We also participated in a webinar led by Juan Mendez, the current Special Rapporteur on Torture.

We collaborated with BlackBoard Theatre on the production of Pedro and the Captain (a story about the relationship between torturer and victim by a renowned Uruguayan playwright), which was launched to great public acclaim in London in March 2016.

We also co-hosted an exhibition with the Zimbabwe Association which documented the stories of Zimbabwean refugees and asylum seekers in the United Kingdom, many of them torture survivors.

Evaluation of our work and looking forward

REDRESS adopted a three-year strategic plan for the period starting 1 April 2014. The strategic plan sets out the institutional and programmatic directions of the organisation and in particular:

- underscores REDRESS’ desire to strengthen its partnerships with local civil society groups in order to maximise impact;
- commits REDRESS to working in countries where torture is endemic and taking special measures to ensure that particularly marginalised groups who experience or are especially vulnerable to torture are able to benefit from REDRESS’ interventions;
- commits REDRESS to work to end State complicity in torture and seek justice for the victims of such collusion, particularly in the context of the phenomenon of democratic States’ collusion in torture during counter-terrorism or wider security operations;
- underlines REDRESS’ goal of developing and strengthening the organisation’ operational capacity and resources to support and sustain its programme work and operational running; in particular by increasing and diversifying its funding base, with a focus on core funding.

Prior to the start of the year, REDRESS carried out an internal evaluation which assessed the extent to which REDRESS met the objectives set out in its strategic plan and to inform future strategies of the organisation. Over the coming year, REDRESS will be carrying out a further strategic review as part of its efforts to put in place its next strategic plan for 2017-2020.

In addition, this past year we commissioned an external evaluation of a three year project grant funded by the MacArthur Foundation. The grant funded our work on the International Criminal Court and some of our work with local partners in DRC, Uganda and Kenya.

The evaluation confirmed that the Project was a beneficial intervention whose implementation was timely and responsive to the felt needs of the target groups and context of the three target countries. Project stakeholders interviewed considered the themes of victims’ rights and transitional justice as resonating well with the circumstances of victims and contexts of the target countries which had experienced conflict and mass victimisation.

The implementation approach adopted in the project in which REDRESS played an “enabler role” was consistent with the organisation’s approach to work in Africa and globally. The project was effective in achieving the expected results. It pursued seven outcomes which were attained, leading to numerous benefits for the target groups.

These benefits included improvements in victims’ access to information; improved knowledge on victims’ rights and reparation; improved lobby, advocacy, research and networking skills; better recognition (attitude change) of victims and victims’ rights by domestic policy makers and the ICC; and strengthened voice, solidarity and relationships among victims and victims groups in the 3 countries.
Many of our funders, such as the Bromley Trust, the European Union, the MacArthur Foundation, the Oak Foundation and the UN Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture, have continued to support REDRESS for many years, and their commitment to the organisation and its aims has been very important to REDRESS’ stability and success.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funders</th>
<th>Supporters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB Charitable Trust</td>
<td>John Armitage Charitable Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen &amp; Overy Foundation</td>
<td>John D. and Catherine T. Macarthur Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Bromley Trust</td>
<td>Oak Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth Foundation</td>
<td>Open Society Foundations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union – European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights</td>
<td>Pro Victimis Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan Cornish Foundation</td>
<td>Sigrud Raising Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fidelity Charitable</td>
<td>Sir Jeremiah Coleman Gift Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</td>
<td>Trust for London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Court Chambers</td>
<td>UN Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture Special Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanity United</td>
<td>UN Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are also very grateful to the many individuals who supported our work this year. In 2016, we received £19,672 (2015 - £13,111) from individual donations, many from long-term supporters of the organisation, some from newer contacts and as a result of sporting and other events. REDRESS supporters raised a record sum through marathons and other sporting events.

In this regard, we would like to thank the runners who participated in the 2016 London Virgin Marathon and the 2016 British 10K London Run and raised money in support of REDRESS’s work: Katherine Grady; Ben Freedman, Edward Craven, Ian Clements; Kartik Raj; Eva Sanchis; Maimouna Rabatel-Fernel; Nancy Garcia; Paul Van Hamme; Gaia Pergolo; Ernesto LaMassa and Natalie Doherty. Sporting events helped draw wider attention to our cause and raised key resources for our work.

The Trustees would also like to record their appreciation of the many volunteers who willingly gave their time to the benefit of the charity. This year, REDRESS’ volunteers provided invaluable support to all of our programme areas. REDRESS has been fortunate to host a range of interns and volunteers from many countries, who have contributed substantially to our work.

These include: Maimouna-Lise Pouye Rabatel-Fernel; Graham Markiewitz; Nancy Garcia Fregoso; Cristina Sánchez de la Cruz; Lisa O’Boyle; Veronica Casati; Paulina Jimenez Fregoso; Mariana Campos D’Arcadia; Victoria Delloye; Ernesto LaMassa; Sedem Hlordzi; Claudia Hyde; Maria Ilushchenko; Lina Fee Philipp; Shoshanna Freedman; Harriet Massie; Flavia Colonnesse; Emilie Fitzsimons; Yvett Talas; Matas Stankevicius; Agnaldo Fernandes DaCosta; Marte Johannessen; Tahira Dean; Meritxell Abellán; Elida Guerra; Catherine Dunmore; Hamsa Abolfatough; Laura Lazaro; Elodie Neuville; Camilla Alonzo; Vanessa Lopez; Laurel Selby; Lucy Gallagher; Laura Notes; Hélène Saadoun; Marie-Julie Horseele, Mariet Defraenx, Pierre de Billy; Turan Hurst; Clémence Aymon; Ahmed Ezzat Abeldawwab; Aku Okocha and Francesca Gage.
Thank you as well to the professors and students who collaborated with REDRESS through a number of clinical and related human rights programmes. We would like to thank the law clinics that have provided substantial support this year, including Boston College of Law London programme; the School of Oriental and African Studies international human rights clinic; the University of Oxford Pro Bono Publico; the Human Rights Implementation Centre at the University of Bristol; Katholieke Universiteit Leuven and the University of Essex Human Rights Centre. We would also like to thank in particular Dr. Lynn Welchmann of SOAS, Dr. Mohamed Abdelkadir Babiker of the University of Khartoum, Dr Rianne Letschert of INTERVICT, University of Tilburg, Dr. Clara Sandoval and Lorna McGregor of the University of Essex, Dr Luke Moffett of Queens University Belfast; Dr Carsten Stahn of the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies, Leiden University, for the ongoing collaboration.

Special thanks are also due to civil society partners throughout the world who continue to share our vision for a world without torture and for the need to achieve justice for victims. In particular, we are grateful to Synergie pour l’assistance judiciaire aux victimes de violation des droits humains au Nord Kivu (DRC); Egyptian Initiative for Personal Rights (Egypt); Justice for Iran; ICI-Kenya, IMLU, KHRC, Kituo Cha Sheria and GIZ Civil Peace Service (Kenya); UJL (Libya); HRMI (Lithuania); Advocacy Forum (Nepal); Law Reform and Development Commission (Namibia); Law Reform Commission (Nigeria); CNDDH, PROMSEX (Peru); Helsinki Foundation (Poland); Institute for Security Studies, SALC, CSVR, Khulumani Support Group (South Africa); African Centre for Justice and Peace Studies (Sudan); HRFT (Turkey); FIDA Uganda; Uganda Victims’ Foundation (Uganda). We are also grateful to our international partners including Amnesty International; the Article 5 Initiative; ACAT-France; Association for the Prevention of Torture; Chatham House; Coalition for the ICC; Committee for the Prevention of Torture in Africa; EU Genocide Network; International Institute for Criminal Investigations; Strategic Initiatives in the Horn of Africa (SIHA); the Pan-African Reparation Initiative (PARI); Human Rights Watch; International Federation of Human Rights; European Centre for Constitutional and Human Rights; TRIAL; International Committee of Jurists; Association for the Prevention of Torture; OSU; World Organization Against Torture; DIGNITY; ECCHR; EHAD; Fair Trials; International Rehabilitation Council for Torture Victims; International Centre for Health and Human Rights; Justice; Reprieve; Freedom from Torture (Birmingham, Manchester and Newcastle offices); Rights International Spain; Survivors Speak Out network; Experts by Experience (Manchester); Helen Bamber Foundation; Media Legal Defence Initiative; Witness; We are also grateful to Najlaa Ahmed and Dadimos Haile.

Support from the legal community

We would also like to warmly thank the numerous law firms, barristers and solicitors that have supported our work over the year. In particular, special thanks to Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer; Bindmans LLP; Leigh Day; Hickman Rose; Deighton Pierce Glynn; Russell and Co Solicitors; Bhatt Murphy; Hogan Lovells; Brick Court Chambers; Doughty Street Chambers; Matrix Chambers; Blackstone Chambers; 3 Raymond Buildings; Roque & Butuyan Law Offices (Philippines); Eleni Meleagrou (Cyprus); Luis Felipe Viveros Montoya (Colombia).

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT

Structure, governance and management

The Board of Trustees confirms that the annual report and financial statements of the charity comply with current statutory requirements, the requirements of the charity’s governing document and the provisions of the Accounting and Reporting by Charities: Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP) applicable to charities preparing their accounts in accordance with the Financial Reporting Standard applicable in the UK and Republic of Ireland (FRS102) (effective 1 January 2015) - (Charities SORP FRS 102) and the Companies Act 2006.

The charity may by Ordinary Resolution in a meeting of the trustees appoint any person who is willing to act to be a Trustee, either to fill a vacancy or as an additional Trustee. At every third Annual General Meeting thereafter all the Trustees shall retire from office. Retiring Trustees are eligible for re-election. Trustees are recruited personally by existing members for their legal knowledge, experience of and interest in the charity’s work.

The Board of Trustees is responsible, inter alia, for setting and overseeing the overall direction, policies and finances of the charity. It has the power from time to time to adopt and make, alter or revoke, bye-laws for the regulation of the charity and interest in the charity’s work.

The Director is responsible for the day-to-day management of the charity and execution of policies and practices set by the Board of Trustees. There have been no changes in the objectives since the last annual report. REDRESS plans to continue the activities as outlined above in the forthcoming years subject to satisfactory funding arrangements.

The remuneration benchmark is the mid-point of the range paid for similar roles in similar charities of similar size and specialisation.

Induction and Training of Trustees

Trustees receive an induction programme which involves meetings with all of the charity’s staff and is intended to inform them of the charity’s work and objectives.
Financial performance

The charity had net income on unrestricted funds of £73,636 for the year (2015: £27,265) before transfers. After transfers, together with the accumulated surplus brought forward from previous years, the charity now has an accumulated surplus on unrestricted funds of £223,102 (2015: £149,466). Restricted funds carried forward at 31 March 2016 amounted to £469,023 (2015: £461,640), following net income resources for the year of £80,999 (2015: £126,178). The funds carried forward are sufficient for the activities for which the funds were provided.

Income from donations increased by 10.2% to £419,586 in 2016 compared with £380,468 in 2015. Restricted income increased by 8.9% to £749,166 in 2016 compared to £687,515 in 2015. There was a decrease in training and other income in the year which reduced from £66,418 in 2015 to £29,535 in 2016. Overall increase in income year on year is 5.6%.

Expenditure overall has increased by 10.8% from £1,008,677 in 2015 to £1,117,806 however this increase is mainly due to increase in direct projects. The support costs have reduced by 11.2% from £385,297 in 2015 to £341,793 in 2016.

The balance sheet shows that funds held at the end of the year were £80,999 higher than at the start of the year. The Trustees’ efforts in developing and implementing the charity’s fundraising strategy during the year resulted in growth in total income.

The Trustees have also carefully addressed the complementarities of the charity’s work with other national and international organisations to assure donors that funding contributes the maximum impact to a co-ordinated approach to the charity’s overall goals.

Reserves policy

REDRESS total reserves are £692,125 (2015: £611,126) of which £223,102 are unrestricted and £469,023 are restricted. REDRESS holds reserves for a number of reasons:

- To enable activities to continue in the period between major projects supported by Restricted Grant Income;
- To enable REDRESS to initiate projects which can demonstrate to a funder a need for support;
- To invest in future income generation;
- To cover any unforeseen expenditure; and
- To provide cash flow support for Restricted Grant Income paid in arrears.

The Trustees calculate that REDRESS requires a range of free reserves of between £111,607 and £223,101 (3 – 6 months of operating costs) to operate. This range is calculated as the total value of Unrestricted Funds less the value of Fixed Assets which are not immediately realisable for use under the Policy.

At the year-end REDRESS had free reserves of £185,231 (2015: £113,324). The current free reserves are within the target range. The Trustees consider this level to be appropriate because, over the next year, a number of grants are due for renewal with the outcome of applications currently being uncertain.

The Trustees review the organisational Budget regularly during the Financial Year and review the Reserves Policy annually as part of this process.

Principal risks, uncertainties and their management

REDRESS has a formal risk management process through which the major risks to which the organisation may be exposed are identified and assessed by likelihood and impact, culminating in a risk control document which is updated on a regular basis. All significant, together with current mitigation actions, are reviewed by the Trustees. The Trustees are satisfied that systems have been developed and are in place to mitigate identified risks to an acceptable level.

The principal risks and uncertainties identified by the charity relate to governance, reputation, legal compliance with external regulations; recruitment and retention; financial health; data security. The trustees are satisfied that the control measures in place are adequate to mitigate the risks to an acceptable level. In particular, the Management Committee, comprised of the Director, the Head of Finance and the Head of Law and Policy work together as a team to review and address various management issues.

The Management Committee is working to add and strengthen policies and procedures and a timetable for reviewing and revising these as appropriate has been developed under the oversight of the Board, who as charity trustees, are responsible for the overall oversight of governance of REDRESS.

With respect to reputational risks the Communications Officer within the scope of the limited available resources is leading efforts to extend knowledge of and access to information about REDRESS, its work and achievements, through a variety of traditional and new media platforms, including cultivating relationships with journalists, working with staff on opinion pieces, strengthening and updating REDRESS’ website and related outreach materials and social media presence.

The Director oversees consistency and accuracy of content and messaging. There is a strict review process of all written submissions, documents and other substantive outputs led by the Head of Law and Policy and overseen by the Director with periodic staff training and skills development.

The Management Committee and Trustees consider that there are no material uncertainties about REDRESS’s ability to continue as a going concern. With respect to the next reporting period, 2017, a good fundraising application pipeline is in place and the Charity has a reasonable contingency plan in place such as reducing certain lines of expenditure if the required level of funding is not achieved. Trustees receive frequent updates and monitor the financial health of the organisation on a regular basis. The review of our financial position, reserves levels and future plans gives Trustees confidence that the charity remains a going concern.

Reserves policy

REDRESS total reserves are £692,125 (2015: £611,126) of which £223,102 are unrestricted and £469,023 are restricted. REDRESS holds reserves for a number of reasons:

- To enable activities to continue in the period between major projects supported by Restricted Grant Income;
- To enable REDRESS to initiate projects which can demonstrate to a funder a need for support;
- To invest in future income generation;
- To cover any unforeseen expenditure; and
- To provide cash flow support for Restricted Grant Income paid in arrears.

The Trustees calculate that REDRESS requires a range of free reserves of between £111,607 and £223,101 (3 – 6 months of operating costs) to operate. This range is calculated as the total value of Unrestricted Funds less the value of Fixed Assets which are not immediately realisable for use under the Policy.

At the year-end REDRESS had free reserves of £185,231 (2015: £113,324). The current free reserves are within the target range. The Trustees consider this level to be appropriate because, over the next year, a number of grants are due for renewal with the outcome of applications currently being uncertain.

The Trustees review the organisational Budget regularly during the Financial Year and review the Reserves Policy annually as part of this process.

Principal risks, uncertainties and their management

REDRESS has a formal risk management process through which the major risks to which the organisation may be exposed are identified and assessed by likelihood and impact, culminating in a risk control document which is updated on a regular basis. All significant, together with current mitigation actions, are reviewed by the Trustees. The Trustees are satisfied that systems have been developed and are in place to mitigate identified risks to an acceptable level.

The principal risks and uncertainties identified by the charity relate to governance, reputation, legal compliance with external regulations; recruitment and retention; financial health; data security. The trustees are satisfied that the control measures in place are adequate to mitigate the risks to an acceptable level. In particular, the Management Committee, comprised of the Director, the Head of Finance and the Head of Law and Policy work together as a team to review and address various management issues.

The Management Committee is working to add and strengthen policies and procedures and a timetable for reviewing and revising these as appropriate has been developed under the oversight of the Board, who as charity trustees, are responsible for the overall oversight of governance of REDRESS.

With respect to reputational risks the Communications Officer within the scope of the limited available resources is leading efforts to extend knowledge of and access to information about REDRESS, its work and achievements, through a variety of traditional and new media platforms, including cultivating relationships with journalists, working with staff on opinion pieces, strengthening and updating REDRESS’ website and related outreach materials and social media presence.

The Director oversees consistency and accuracy of content and messaging. There is a strict review process of all written submissions, documents and other substantive outputs led by the Head of Law and Policy and overseen by the Director with periodic staff training and skills development.

The Management Committee and Trustees consider that there are no material uncertainties about REDRESS’s ability to continue as a going concern. With respect to the next reporting period, 2017, a good fundraising application pipeline is in place and the Charity has a reasonable contingency plan in place such as reducing certain lines of expenditure if the required level of funding is not achieved. Trustees receive frequent updates and monitor the financial health of the organisation on a regular basis. The review of our financial position, reserves levels and future plans gives Trustees confidence that the charity remains a going concern.
STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES’ RESPONSIBILITIES

The Trustees (who are also directors of The Redress Trust for the purposes of company law), are responsible for preparing the Trustees’ Report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and United Kingdom Accounting Standards (United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice).

Company law requires the trustees to prepare financial statements for each financial year which give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the charitable company and of the incoming resources and application of resources, including the income and expenditure, of the charitable company for that period. In preparing these financial statements, the trustees are required to:

- Select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
- Observe the methods and principles in the Charities SORP;
- Make judgments and estimates that are reasonable and prudent;
- State whether applicable UK Accounting standards have been followed, subject to any material departures disclosed and explained in the financial statements;
- Prepare the financial statements on the going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the charitable company will continue in business.

The trustees are responsible for keeping proper accounting records that disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time of the financial position of the charitable company and enable them to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Companies Act 2006. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the charitable company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

In so far as we are aware:

- There is no relevant audit information of which the charitable company’s auditor is unaware; and
- The trustees have taken all steps that they ought to have taken to make themselves aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the auditor is aware of that information.

Events since the end of the year

In the opinion of the Board of Trustees no event since the balance sheet date significantly affects the company’s financial position.

Auditors

The auditors, haysmacintyre, are proposed for re-appointment in accordance with Section 485 of the Companies Act 2006.

In preparing this report, the Trustees have taken advantage of the small companies exemptions provided by section 415A of the Companies Act 2006.

This report was approved by the Board of Trustees on and signed on its behalf by:

Sir Emyr Jones Parry GCMG, PhD, FInstP
Chair of the Board of Trustees, 5th July 2016
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF THE REDRESS TRUST LIMITED

We have audited the financial statements of The Redress Trust Limited for the year ended 31 March 2016, which comprise of the Statement of Financial Activities, the Balance Sheet, Cash Flow Statement and the related notes. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and United Kingdom Accounting Standards (United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice).

This report is made solely to the charitable company’s members, as a body, in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the charitable company’s members those matters we are required to state to them in an Auditors’ Report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the charitable company and its members, as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinion we have formed.

Respective responsibilities of trustees and auditor

As explained more fully in the Trustees’ Responsibilities Statement, the trustees (who are also the directors of the charitable company for the purposes of company law) are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board’s Ethical Standards for Auditors.

Scope of the audit of the financial statements

A description of the scope of an audit of financial statements is provided on the Financial Reporting Council’s website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditscopeukprivate.

Opinion on financial statements

In our opinion the financial statements:

• give a true and fair view of the state of the charitable company’s affairs as at 31 March 2016 and of the charitable company’s net movement in funds, including its income and expenditure, for the year then ended;

• have been properly prepared in accordance with United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice; and

• have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006.

Opinion on other matter prescribed by the Companies Act 2006

In our opinion the information given in the Trustees’ Annual Report for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters where the Companies Act 2006 requires us to report to you if, in our opinion:

• adequate accounting records have not been kept or returns adequate for our audit have not been received from branches not visited by us; or

• the financial statements are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns; or

• certain disclosures of trustees’ remuneration specified by law are not made; or

• we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit; or

• the trustees were not entitled to take advantage of the small companies exemption in preparing the Trustees’ Annual Report and Strategic Report.

Murtaza Jessa (Senior statutory auditor) for and on behalf of haysmacintyre, Statutory Auditor
26 Red Lion Square, London WC1R 4AG

DATE
Statement of Financial Activities for the year ended 31st March 2016

(incorporating the Income & Expenditure account)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income From</th>
<th>UNRESTRICTED FUNDS £</th>
<th>RESTRICTED FUNDS £</th>
<th>TOTAL FUNDS 2016 £</th>
<th>TOTAL RESTATED 2015 £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donations and Legacies</td>
<td>419,586</td>
<td></td>
<td>419,586</td>
<td>380,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Income</td>
<td>518</td>
<td></td>
<td>518</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charitable activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casework</td>
<td>33,835</td>
<td></td>
<td>33,835</td>
<td>86,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice in the Context of Mass Victimisation</td>
<td>54,283</td>
<td></td>
<td>54,283</td>
<td>99,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing National Laws and Practice</td>
<td>361,480</td>
<td></td>
<td>361,480</td>
<td>362,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting International Standards</td>
<td>299,568</td>
<td></td>
<td>299,568</td>
<td>138,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and other income</td>
<td>29,535</td>
<td></td>
<td>29,535</td>
<td>66,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td><strong>449,639</strong></td>
<td><strong>749,166</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,198,805</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,134,855</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Expenditure                                     |                      |                    |                   |                     |
| Raising funds                                   | 49,278               |                    | 49,278            | 68,318              |
| Charitable activities                           |                      |                    |                   |                     |
| Casework                                        | 15,178               |                    | 15,178            | 34,462              |
| Justice in the Context of Mass Victimisation    | 44,331               |                    | 44,331            | 100,650             |
| Influencing National Laws and Practice          | 179,741              |                    | 179,741           | 408,087             |
| Promoting International Standards               | 87,475               |                    | 87,475            | 198,604             |
| **Total Expenditure**                           | **376,003**          | **761,801**        | **1,137,804**     | **1,008,677**       |

| Net Income                                      | 73,636               |                    | 73,636            | 80,999              |
| Fund balances brought forward at 1st April 2015 | 149,466              |                    | 149,466           | 611,126             |
| Fund balances carried forward at 31st March 2016 | 223,102              |                    | 223,102           | 692,125             |

The financial statements were approved and authorised for issue by the Board of Trustees on 5th July 2016 and signed on its behalf by:

Sir Emyr Jones Parry GCMG, PhD, FInstP
Chair of the Board of Trustees

The notes on pages 26 to 36 form part of these financial statements.
1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The principal accounting policies adopted, judgements and key sources of estimation uncertainty in the preparation of the financial statements are as follows:

Basis of preparation

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Accounting and Reporting by Charities: Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP) applicable to charities preparing their accounts in accordance with the Financial Reporting Standard applicable in the UK and Republic of Ireland (FRS102) (effective 1 January 2015) - (Charities SORP FRS 102) and the Companies Act 2006.

REDRESS meets the definition of a public benefit entity under FRS 102. Assets and Liabilities are initially recognised at historical cost or transaction value unless otherwise stated in the relevant accounting policy note(s).

In preparing the accounts, the Trustees have considered whether in applying the accounting policies required by FRS 102 and the Charities SORP FRS 102 the restatement of comparative items was required. No restatements were required other than reallocation of governance costs.

The opening fund balances at the date of transition have not been restated (see note 18) due to an immaterial amount arising from a liability for holiday pay. Full provision for holiday pay was paid this financial year. No restatement of items has been required in making the transition to FRS 102. The transition date was 1 January 2014.

Going concern

The Trustees consider that there are no material uncertainties about REDRESS' ability to continue as a going concern. With respect to the next reporting period, 2017, a good fundraising application pipeline is in place and the Charity has a reasonable contingency plan in place such as reducing certain lines of expenditure if the required level of funding is not achieved. Trustees receive frequent updates and monitor the financial health of the organisation on a regular basis. The review of our financial position, reserves levels and future plans gives Trustees confidence that guarantee the charity remains a going concern.

Fund accounting

General funds are unrestricted funds which are available for use at the discretion of the Board of Trustees in furtherance of the general objectives of the charity and which have not been designated for other purposes. Restricted funds are funds that are to be used in accordance with specific restrictions imposed by the donors, which have been raised by the charity for particular purposes. The aim and use of each restricted fund is set out in the notes to the financial statements.

Investment income, gains and losses are allocated to the appropriate fund.
Income recognition

Income is included in the Statement of Financial Activities when the charity is legally entitled to the income, it is probable that income will be received and the amount can be quantified with reasonable accuracy.

Resources expended

Liabilities are recognised as expenditure as soon as there is legal or constructive obligation committing the charity to that expenditure, it is probable that settlement will be required and the amount of obligation can be measured reliably.

All expenditure is accounted for on an accruals basis and has been included under expense categories that aggregate all costs for allocation to activities. Where support costs cannot be directly attributed to particular activities they have been allocated in proportion to direct costs incurred. The allocation of support and governance costs is analysed in note 4.

Governance costs have been incurred in ensuring compliance with constitutional and statutory requirements.

Tangible fixed assets and depreciation

Assets acquired for the long-term use of the charity and having an initial cost or valuation of £250 or more are capitalised as tangible fixed assets. Tangible fixed assets are stated at cost or valuation less depreciation. Depreciation is provided at rates calculated to write off the cost or valuation of fixed assets, less their estimated residual value, over their expected useful lives on the following bases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Type</th>
<th>Useful Life</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office equipment</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>Straight line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixtures &amp; fittings</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Straight line</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Foreign currencies

Assets and liabilities in foreign currencies are translated into sterling at the rates of exchange ruling at the balance sheet date. Transactions in foreign currencies are translated into sterling at the rate ruling on the date of the transaction. Exchange differences are taken into account in arriving at the operating surplus.

Employee benefits

Short term benefits

Short term benefit including holiday pay are recognised as an expense in the period in which the service is received.

Employee termination benefits

Termination benefits are accounted for on an accrual basis and in line with FRS 102.

Pension

The charity operates a defined contribution pension policy and the pension charge represents the amounts payable by the charity to funds established by individuals in respect of the year.

Taxation

The charity is exempt from income tax and corporation tax on its charitable activities. The charity is not registered for VAT and is unable to recover VAT on its purchases. All irrecoverable VAT is included within the appropriate headings.

Debtors

Trade and other debtors are recognised at the settlement amount due after any discount offered. Prepayments are valued at the amount prepaid net of any discounts due.

Cash at bank and in hand

Cash at bank and cash in hand includes cash and short term highly liquid investments with a short maturity of three months or less from the date of acquisition or opening of the deposit or similar account.

2. DONATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNRESTRICTED FUNDS £</th>
<th>RESTRICTED FUNDS £</th>
<th>TOTAL FUNDS 2016 £</th>
<th>TOTAL FUNDS 2015 £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oak Foundation</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Sigrid Rausing Trust</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fidelity Charitable Trust</td>
<td>94,502</td>
<td>94,502</td>
<td>92,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Armitage Charitable Trust</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A B Charitable Trust</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>44,084</td>
<td>44,084</td>
<td>26,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>419,586</td>
<td>419,586</td>
<td>380,468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Creditors and provisions

Creditors and provisions are recognised where the charity has a present obligation resulting from a past event that will probably result in the transfer of funds to a third party and the amount due to settle the obligation can be measured or estimated reliably. Creditors and provisions are normally recognised at their settlement amount after allowing for any trade discounts due.

Financial instruments

The charity only has financial assets and financial liabilities of a kind that qualify as basic financial instruments. Basic financial instruments are initially recognised at transaction value and subsequently measured at their settlement value.
3. INCOME FROM CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>UNRESTRICTED FUNDS £</strong></th>
<th><strong>RESTRICTED FUNDS £</strong></th>
<th><strong>TOTAL FUNDS 2016 £</strong></th>
<th><strong>TOTAL FUNDS 2015 £</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Casework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Court Chambers</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust for London</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture</td>
<td>12,835</td>
<td>12,835</td>
<td>13,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esmee Fairbairn Foundation</td>
<td>39,422</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen &amp; Overy LLP</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Justice in the Context of Mass Victimisation**

| Evan Cornish Foundation | 5,000 | 5,000 |                        |
| European Union - EIDHR Sudan | 83    | 83    |                        |
| The Bromley Trust       | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Humanity United         | (205) | (205) | 42,451                |
| Commonwealth Foundation | 29,488 | 29,488 | 28,161                |
| Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Finland | 5,326 | 5,326 |                        |
| Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Estonia | 3,977 | 3,977 |                        |

**Influencing National Standards and Practice**

| European Union - Greece | 3,707 | 3,707 |                        |
| Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Germany | 40,764 | 40,764 |                        |
| Foreign & Commonwealth Office | 78,403 | 78,403 |                        |
| European Union - EIDHR | 226,792 | 226,792 | 340,741 |
| Pro Victimis Foundation | 7,072 | 7,072 | 4,036                |
| UN OPCAT                | 4,742 | 4,742 | 17,445                |

**Promoting International Standards**

| John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation | 205,061 | 205,061 | 81,532 |
| European Union - Criminal Justice | (1,108) | (1,108) | 20,972 |
| Open Society Foundations | 95,615 | 95,615 | 36,460 |
| Training & Consultancy | 29,535 | 29,535 | 66,418 |
| **TOTAL** | 29,535 | 749,166 | 778,701 |

4. TOTAL RESOURCES EXPENDED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>STAFF COSTS £</strong></th>
<th><strong>OTHER COSTS £</strong></th>
<th><strong>APPORTIONED SUPPORT COSTS £</strong></th>
<th><strong>TOTAL 2016 £</strong></th>
<th><strong>TOTAL RESTATED 2015 £</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Raising Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,053</td>
<td>49,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casework</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30,928</td>
<td>49,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justice in the Context of Mass Victimisation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53,839</td>
<td>144,981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Influencing National Laws and Institutions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>152,678</td>
<td>587,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Promoting International Standards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>121,110</td>
<td>286,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>390,308</td>
<td>1,117,806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apportioned support costs include the following costs, allocated to activities in proportion to direct costs incurred on each charitable activity area.

Governance cost has also been reallocated for last financial year and comparatives restated accordingly.

**COST POOL 2016 £ 2015 £**

| Staff and associated costs | 265,198 | 285,913 |
| Casework costs             | 16,521  | 32,241  |
| Communications costs       | 3,065   | 841     |
| Office costs               | 34,405  | 27,005  |
| Premises costs             | 12,533  | 17,280  |
| Governance costs           | 10,071  | 22,017  |
| **TOTAL**                  | 341,793 | 385,297 |

5. GOVERNANCE COSTS

**GOVERNANCE COSTS INCLUDE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>2016 £</strong></th>
<th><strong>2015 £</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit and accountability</td>
<td>10,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other direct costs</td>
<td>7,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,071</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Audit and accountability costs are charged to Restricted Funds where allowed.
6. NET INCOME/ (EXPENDITURE)

(including the Income & Expenditure account)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NET INCOME/ EXPENDITURE ARE STATED AFTER CHARGING:</th>
<th>2016 £</th>
<th>2015 £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of tangible fixed assets</td>
<td>6,031</td>
<td>6,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditors remuneration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit services (excluding VAT)</td>
<td>7,590</td>
<td>6,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating leases</td>
<td>33,078</td>
<td>31,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>46,699</td>
<td>50,372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Audit services costs totalling £5,939 (2015 - £8,828 including VAT) have been charged to Charitable Activities (see Note 3 above) where they are covered by Restricted grants.

7. PERSONNEL COSTS

| Wages and salaries                              | 232,930 | 493,709 |
| Social security costs                           | 28,558  | 48,475  |
| Pension                                         | 27,834  | 43,577  |
| Temporary, contract and non-UK staff costs      | 13,445  | 75,988  |
| Other staff costs e.g. recruitment, training, volunteers | 2,435  | 2,435  |
| TOTAL                                           | 305,292 | 664,184 |

One employee received remuneration exceeding £60,000 in the year (2015: 1) and the employer’s pension contribution in the year was £6,421 (2015: £7,797). Key management personnel are the senior management team. The total employee benefits of the key management personnel for the year totalled £176,490 (2015: £172,820).

The non-UK staff costs include staff employed by partner organisations working to implement projects and not directly by the charity.

8. TANGIBLE FIXED ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE EQUIPMENT £</th>
<th>FIXTURES &amp; FITTINGS £</th>
<th>TOTAL £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>At 1st April 2015</td>
<td>50,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>2,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>(608)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 31st March 2016</td>
<td>52,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>At 1st April 2015</td>
<td>41,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charge for the year</td>
<td>5,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>(164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 31st March 2016</td>
<td>46,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Book Value</td>
<td>At 31st March 2016</td>
<td>5,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 31st March 2015</td>
<td>9,334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average monthly number of employees during the year was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support staff</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid Interns</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No trustees (2015: nil) received any remuneration in respect of their role as trustees. Two trustees (2015: two) claimed reimbursement of £263 for travel expenses (2015: £323 for travel expenses) during the year and no amounts were paid directly to third parties.
9. DEBTORS

Due within one year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016 £</th>
<th>2015 £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other debtors</td>
<td>22,499</td>
<td>19,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advances to partners under Grant agreements</td>
<td>39,406</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants receivable</td>
<td>234,026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepayments</td>
<td>20,836</td>
<td>17,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued income</td>
<td>3,934</td>
<td>36,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>281,295</strong></td>
<td><strong>112,234</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. CREDITORS

Amounts falling due within one year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016 £</th>
<th>2015 £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trade creditors</td>
<td>38,767</td>
<td>24,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>23,609</td>
<td>8,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social security and other taxes</td>
<td>13,615</td>
<td>13,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other creditors</td>
<td>66,432</td>
<td>45,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>142,423</strong></td>
<td><strong>91,487</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. FUND MOVEMENTS

Restricted Funds

The funds of the charity include restricted funds comprising the unexpended balances of donations and grants, as set out below, held on trusts to be applied for the following purposes:

- **Casework**

  This programme supports the charity’s direct work with survivors of torture based in the United Kingdom and abroad. It is supported by grants including from the EC EIDHR, the UN Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture and Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer.
Justice in the Context of Mass Victimisation

This programme supports the charity’s work in conflict areas such as Sudan, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya and Uganda.

Bromley Trust, the Commonwealth Foundation, Humanity United, John D. And Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation have funded this work.

Promoting International Standards

This programme supports the charity’s work to develop standards at the regional and international level and strengthen international institutions. The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, The Sigrid Rausing Trust and the Open Society Foundations are among those that have funded the work this year.

Influencing National Standards and Institutions

This programme supports the charity’s work to ensure that national laws and institutions reflect international law standards and survivors’ rights. It includes the organisation’s policy, advocacy and capacity building work in the United Kingdom and in a range of countries worldwide. The European Union, Pro Victimis and the UN Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture Special Fund are among those that have supported this grant this year.

Designated Funds

A designated fund representing the net book value of fixed assets has been established. These funds are tied up in fixed assets and are not readily realisable to support the work of the organisation.

12. ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS BETWEEN FUNDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNRESTRICTED FUNDS £</th>
<th>RESTRICTED FUNDS £</th>
<th>TOTAL FUNDS 31ST MARCH 2016 £</th>
<th>TOTAL FUNDS 31ST MARCH 2015 £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund balances at 31st March 2016 are represented by:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangible fixed assets</td>
<td>7,270</td>
<td>7,270</td>
<td>10,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>276,813</td>
<td>550,465</td>
<td>827,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>(60,981)</td>
<td>(81,442)</td>
<td>(142,423)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>223,102</td>
<td>469,023</td>
<td>692,125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. CAPITAL COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

At the end of the period there were no capital commitments or other financial commitments for which full provision has not been made in these financial statements (2015: nil).

14. OPERATING LEASES

Operating lease rentals of £31,022 (2015: £31,329) were paid in respect of properties and equipment held under leases in the year. At 31 March 2016, the charity had the following future minimum lease payment under non-cancelable leases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAND AND BUILDINGS</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016 £</td>
<td>2015 £</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to one year</td>
<td>31,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between two to five years</td>
<td>34,899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. PENSION SCHEMES

The charity operates a defined contribution policy in respect of its employees. Individuals are encouraged to establish their own schemes to which the charity contributes. Pension contributions for nine members of staff have been accrued for the year pending establishment of schemes for those individuals. As at 31 March 2016, employer contributions outstanding amount to £28,201 (2015: £30,321).

16. REDRESS TRUST (USA)

The Redress Trust Limited was incorporated as a Not-for-Profit Corporation in the State of New York on 27th June 1995, (Number 13-4028661). The Internal Revenue Service determined on 22nd October 1999 that The Redress Trust Limited (USA) is exempt from federal income tax under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code as an organisation under Section 501(c)(3).

The Board of Directors consists of:

- Professor Michael Bazyler (USA), Chair
- Stephanie Deckrosh (USA)
- Professor Naomi Roht-Arriaza (USA)
- Professor Dinah Shelton (USA)
- Professor David Weissbrodt (USA)

17. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Donations received from Trustees as aggregate in the year were nil (2015: nil). During the year REDRESS received funding from Open Society Foundations (OSF) £95,616 (2015: 36,460). Baroness Vivian Stern (trustee) is a director of Open Society Foundations (OSF). She was not involved in the decision making process for the allocation of a grant to REDRESS, and joined REDRESS after the decision had been taken to accord the grant to REDRESS.
18. COMPARATIVE SOFA 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOTE</th>
<th>UNRESTRICTED FUNDS £</th>
<th>RESTRICTED FUNDS £</th>
<th>TOTAL FUNDS 2015 £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income From</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations and Legacies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>380,468</td>
<td>380,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>454</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charitable activities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86,331</td>
<td>86,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice in the Context of Mass Victimisation</td>
<td></td>
<td>99,998</td>
<td>99,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing National Laws and Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td>362,222</td>
<td>362,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting International Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td>138,964</td>
<td>138,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and other income</td>
<td></td>
<td>66,418</td>
<td>66,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>647,340</td>
<td>687,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raising Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td>68,318</td>
<td>68,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charitable activities</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casework</td>
<td></td>
<td>50,774</td>
<td>85,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice in the Context of Mass Victimisation</td>
<td></td>
<td>29,491</td>
<td>49,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing National Laws and Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td>158,481</td>
<td>262,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting International Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td>115,009</td>
<td>192,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td>420,075</td>
<td>589,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27,265</td>
<td>98,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balances brought forward at 1st April 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>122,201</td>
<td>362,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balances carried forward at 31st March 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>149,466</td>
<td>461,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHO’S WHO AT REDRESS

**Board of Trustees**

- Sir Emyr Jones Parry GCMG (Chair)
- Michael Birnbaum QC
- Professor Bill Bowring
- Sherman Carroll PhD, MBE (Hon.)
- Simon Carruth (Treasurer) (resigned June 2015)
- Sir Robin Christopher KCMG (resigned September 2015)
- Willa Geertsema (Treasurer)
- Frances Guy
- Jasvir Kaur
- Leah Levin OBE
- Baroness Sarah Ludford (resigned October 2015)
- Rev. Nicholas Mercer
- Dr Nimisha Patel
- Baroness Vivien Stern (appointed December 2015)

**Staff**

- Carla Ferstman (Director and Company Secretary)
- Michelle Willis (Head of Finance)
- Lutz Oette (Counsel (until September 2015))
- Kevin Laue (Legal Advisor)
- Juergen Schurr (Legal Advisor (until October 2015, November 2015 became Head of Law and Policy))
- Gaelle Carayon (Post Conflict Policy Advisor)
- Gaia Pergolo (Legal Officer)
- Beini Ye (Post Conflict Legal Advisor)
- Kyna Hild (International Legal Advisor)
- Judy Oder (Legal Advisor (from February 2016))
- Emily Hindle (Caseworker (from June 2015))
- Letizia Paoloni (Administrador)
- Makuta Kamara (Finance Assistant)
- Eva Sanchis (Communications Officer)
- Jennifer Marcotte-Ouellet (Development Executive (until December 2015))
KEY PUBLICATIONS THIS YEAR

April 2016
Submission of The Redress Trust, the Coalition Ivoirienne pour la Cour Pénale Internationale and Lawyers for Justice in Libya on the Draft Policy Paper on Case Selection and Prioritisation of the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court

March 2016
Strategic Advocacy and Litigation Training Report

March 2016
Submission to UPR Working Group regarding review of Lithuania

March 2016
Legal Frameworks to Prevent Torture in Africa

March 2016
Submission to UN Committee Against Torture in relation to the USA's One-Year Follow-up Response to the Committee's Concluding Observations

February 2016
Anti-Torture Legislative Frameworks in Nigeria

January 2016
Correspondence with UK Government on IHAT Investigation

January 2016
United Kingdom's 6th Periodic Report (due May 2017): Submission to Committee Against Torture on List of Issues Prior to Reporting

January 2016
Submission to UN Committee against Torture regarding the Periodic Review of Lithuania: List of Issues Prior to Reporting

November 2015
Submission to the Secretariat of the International Conference on the Great Lakes Region (ICGLR) on the implementation of the Kampala Declaration on sexual violence

Sept 2015
REDRESS Submission to CEDAW for the Consideration of the Combined Second and Third Periodic Reports of the United Arab Emirates

Sept 2015
Universal Periodic Review, Sudan, 2016: Ensuring respect for the prohibition of torture in Sudan

August 2015
Victim Participation in Criminal Law Proceedings - Survey of Domestic Practice for Application to International Crimes Prosecutions
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June 2015
Maldives: Materials on Medical Documentation of Torture & Other Ill-Treatment
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