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Introduction

Sometimes we have more than one kasha raid a day … it is all about collecting money from poor women. In some cases, police just fabricate cases against some women who do not pay. I also noticed there is a discrimination against women since there are men who sell alcohol but they are not targeted by the raids.1

The frequent arrests, detention, trial and punishment of women under Sudan’s public order regime ostensibly designed to protect morality are key features of the criminal justice system. The public order laws incorporate strict moral codes designed to exclude and intimidate women from actively participating in public life, for instance by restricting their presence in the public sphere and controlling what they wear.2 Public order police and public order courts are the main institutions responsible for enforcing those laws.

The public order laws owe their origin to the introduction in 1983 of Sharia laws and include the imposition of Sharia haddud punishments such as death by stoning, crucifixion, amputation, cross amputation3 and flogging. Upon these laws’ introduction, the ruling regime at the time declared a state of emergency which further extended and developed the public order regime, effectively criminalising what they wear.3 Public order police and public order courts are the main institutions responsible for enforcing those laws.

The public order laws owe their origin to the introduction in 1983 of Sharia laws and include the imposition of Sharia haddud punishments such as death by stoning, crucifixion, amputation, cross amputation4 and flogging. Upon these laws’ introduction, the ruling regime at the time declared a state of emergency which further extended and developed the public order regime, effectively criminalising what they wear.3 Public order police and public order courts are the main institutions responsible for enforcing those laws.

When the current government came into power following a coup in June 1989, it further extended and developed the public order regime, effectively criminalising and controlling an array of private relations in the public sphere. The public order regime embodies and imposes a particular set of values designed to control gender relations within society and the necessity of state engagement in the regulation of personal “morality.”5 Overall, the public order laws contain a mix of criminal and moral prohibitions which blur the distinction between the enactment of law for public interest and the imposition of moral precepts based on religious convictions.

The public order laws are vague and open-ended leaving them open to exploitation as a social control tool by the authorities.6

The reality of the public order regime in Sudan is that while the very nature of the legal prohibitions leads to their regular contravention they are not consistently enforced. At the same time, although the most draconian penalties such as stoning and execution are rarely applied, lashing as a form of punishment is frequently employed. Thus the very existence of the public order regime exerts a tremendous hold on the population—particularly women—who are arbitrarily and unexpectedly subjected to it. At any time and for any reason, public order laws can be enforced.7 It should be noted that at times women are prosecuted under the public order regime without specific reference to public order laws.

The laws’ application oftentimes result in fines, arrest, detention, prosecution and brutal punishment for ordinary activities that should not be criminalised as they relate to aspects of daily life such as the choice of women’s clothes or social interactions with family, friends and colleagues. The public order laws’ discriminatory application punishes women for expressing themselves and for engaging in economic and professional activities. As the majority of women who are arrested cannot afford to pay court-imposed fines, many are subjected to lashing and/or end up in prisons with deplorable conditions where they suffer additional abuse and violence. Despite the disquiet within Sudan about the application of these laws, their enforcement continues unabated, fostering a climate of fear.

Focusing on Khartoum State, this report highlights the personal experiences of women caught up in the arbitrary application of the public order regime. It considers the situation of women arrested under the public order regime and their progressing through a flawed justice system from the moment of arrest, police custody, trial before public order courts and to the imposition of sentences, including corporal punishment and imprisonment. The report focuses on the experiences of particular groups of women most affected by the application of the public order regime, personal “morality.” Overall, the public order laws contain a mix of criminal and moral prohibitions which blur the distinction between the enactment of law for public interest and the imposition of moral precepts based on religious convictions.

The public order laws are vague and open-ended leaving them open to exploitation as a social control tool by the authorities.7

The laws comprising the public order regime include the Khartoum Public Order Act of 1998, provisions of the Sudanese Criminal Act of 1991 as well as the Organisation of Prisons and Treatment of Prisoners Act of 1992. These laws are applicable to Sudanese men and women, yet categories of women such as female alcohol brewers and sellers, tea sellers, female students and women human rights defenders are disproportionately affected. These groups of women are especially vulnerable owing to their origin and social status and/or because of the repression or criminalisation of their activities.

The laws’ application oftentimes result in fines, arrest, detention, prosecution and brutal punishment for ordinary activities that should not be criminalised as they relate to aspects of daily life such as the choice of women’s clothes or social interactions with family, friends and colleagues. The public order laws’ discriminatory application punishes women for expressing themselves and for engaging in economic and professional activities. As the majority of women who are arrested cannot afford to pay court-imposed fines, many are subjected to lashing and/or end up in prisons with deplorable conditions where they suffer additional abuse and violence. Despite the disquiet within Sudan about the application of these laws, their enforcement continues unabated, fostering a climate of fear.

Focusing on Khartoum State, this report highlights the personal experiences of women caught up in the arbitrary application of the public order regime. It considers the situation of women arrested under the public order regime and their progressing through a flawed justice system from the moment of arrest, police custody, trial before public order courts and to the imposition of sentences, including corporal punishment and imprisonment. The report focuses on the experiences of particular groups of women most affected by the application of the public order regime,
namely alcohol brewers and sellers, human rights defenders, female students and migrant women. Importantly, this report underscores the intersection of inequality with gender, ethnicity, class and nationality and the state’s obligations to protect those affected by the violations detailed in the report.

Alcohol brewers, the majority of women arrested under the public order regime, frequently come from marginalised communities in the peripheries of Khartoum, and are largely made up of internally displaced persons (IDPs) and refugees. They are more at risk of public order raids and arrests than those living in wealthier areas of the city as alcohol brewers and sellers are engaged in an illegal activity. The risk of arrest increases during the weekend, particularly for alcohol and tea sellers whose businesses flourish over weekends.9

Unlike tea and food sellers who can turn to cooperatives and unions for support upon arrest, alcohol brewers and sellers live hidden lives and carry the stigma of being involved in an illegal trade.10 Migrant women from South Sudan, Eritrea and Ethiopia who are based in Sudan are also regularly arrested and detained by the public order police for alleged public order and immigration offences. As some of these women work as housemaids, they are also prone to accusations of theft by their employers.11

Another group affected by the public order regime is female student activists whose vulnerability is compounded by threats perpetrated by security officials. Female student activists in various campuses have reported how police and national security officers arrested and threatened them ostensibly for the breach of public order laws.13 Female student activists are for instance targeted for wearing trousers considered to be ‘immoral’ by public order police.13

For female human right activists, the constant prospect of arrest and detention casts a dark shadow on their activities. These women’s lives are frequently interrupted by the scrutiny of public order police and security agencies which take the form of threatening phone calls, allegations of a personal nature and interventions with friends and family.14 Negative cultural and social perceptions of women, promoted in official ideology, further reinforce discrimination, harassment, and various forms of ill-treatment of women activists.15

This report builds on forty interviews with alcohol and tea sellers, students and human rights defenders, former detainees and prisoners in and around Khartoum, Sudan, between August and December 2016. Representatives of the judiciary, legal profession, prison staff, the International Organisation of Migration, the Ministry of Justice and community members were also interviewed. The Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa (SIHA) reached out to women groups through its networks and contacts in communities, police stations and Omdurman’s Women’s Prison where interviews with sixteen current and former inmates were carried out. The interviews were conducted confidentially in person and by telephone, in Arabic. The report also draws, more broadly, on SIHA’s expertise and experiences working on women’s rights issues in Sudan. It also builds on REDRESS’ extensive law reform work and assistance provided to victims of torture and ill-treatment in Sudan.16

This report focuses on the experiences of women living in Khartoum, most of whom live locally and have either witnessed or experienced arrest, detention, imprisonment and punishment. The report does not purport to document all human rights violations faced by vulnerable women in Khartoum; rather it focuses on the experiences of selected groups of women who as a result of their activities end up being pursued by public order police. It recounts their experiences in police stations, courts and Omdurman’s Women’s Prison.

The report was written by Judy Oder, Legal Adviser with REDRESS and edited by Jürgen Schurr and Carla Ferstman of REDRESS and Dr. Lutz Oette of SOAS. It is based on fieldwork carried out by SIHA, who conducted relevant interviews on the ground. SIHA consultant Mohammed Osman and REDRESS interns Nneka Egbuju, Maria Cristina Carletti and Claudia Cardao provided invaluable research assistance.

9 SIHA, Baseline Assessment, p. 12.
11 SIHA interview, Khartoum 2016.
13 SIHA interview, Khartoum 2016.
14 SIHA, Beyond Trousers, p. 23.
16 See further for REDRESS’ work on Sudan at http://www.redress.org/africa/sudan.
The Legal Framework

The main components of Sudan's public order laws are included in the Khartoum Public Order Act of 1998 and relevant provisions of the Criminal Act of 1991, both of which will be outlined below.

Subsequent chapters of the report will analyse the extent to which relevant provisions of Sudan's public order laws and their application in practice comply with Sudan's obligations under relevant regional and international human rights instruments as ratified by Sudan. These include in particular the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), the International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD), the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) and the Convention on the Rights of the Child.

At the regional level, Sudan has ratified the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (African Charter) and the OAU Convention Governing Specific Aspects of Refugee Problems in Africa.

As will be illustrated below, aspects of those treaties have been incorporated into Sudan's 2005 Interim National Constitution (INC). They also form part of the INC by virtue of Article 27(3) which provides that the rights and freedoms enshrined in international human rights treaties ratified by Sudan shall be an integral part of the Constitution.

ii.1. The Khartoum Public Order Act of 1998

The Khartoum Public Order Act (KPOA) of 1998 regulates social activities such as festivities and social gatherings. It criminalises dancing between women and men, women dancing in front of men, singing of trivial songs at parties and prohibits begging. It regulates management of queues in public places (separation of men and women) and regulates private businesses, for instance requiring hairdressing salons not to employ any women unless they are certain of their righteousness and good reputation. The KPOA is directed at the control of male and female relations (with a number of exceptions) and characterised by a specific conception of women's moral capacity. Any contravention of the Act “attracts punishments which include imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years, a fine and whipping.”

In addition to interfering with private lives, the Act's provisions are vague and prone to abuse by public order police. There have been many cases where officers have reportedly taken advantage of their position to blackmail, verbally and physically abuse both women and men. Women are left at the mercy of decisions by public order police without guidelines on what can trigger their arrest in public or private spaces. The vagueness of the provisions of this law, its focus on instilling 'good behaviour' and its regulation of private social activities mean that women regularly fall afoul of it leading to their unfair trial before public order courts – at the end of which they are frequently found guilty, fined, lashed and/or imprisoned.

ii.2. The Criminal Act of 1991

This section considers provisions of the Criminal Act of 1991 as applied by the public order police and as illustrated by first hand experiences of women interviewed by SIHA.

Article 79 of the Criminal Act provides:

Whoever deals in alcohol, by storing, sale, purchase, transport or possesses it with the intention of dealing with others … shall be punished with imprisonment for a term not exceeding a year or with a fine.

Alcohol has been criminalised in Sudan since 1983. Often women who have fled conflict are illegal brewers in the capital. For many Southern Sudanese who live in Khartoum, the brew and sale of alcohol is the only way to survive and maintain their families. The application of the criminal offence of manufacturing and selling alcohol affects persons belonging to this community disproportionately and harshly, given the cultural and economic context. Women from Nuba Mountains, many of whom engage in the brew and sale of alcohol are also caught up in the web of public order law enforcement.

Article 151 proscribes gross indecency, providing that:

(1) [There shall be deemed to commit the offence of gross indecency, whoever commits any act contrary to another person's modesty.

22 SIHA, Beyond Trousers, pp. 9-10.
23 KPOA, Article 26(a)(d).
26 SIHA, Baseline Assessment, p. 4.
27 SIHA interview, Khartoum 2016.
Article 151 does not define the kinds of acts which amount to gross indecency. It is prone to subjective interpretation by public order police who routinely arrest individuals on the basis of Article 151 for acts that should not be criminalised.

A woman interviewed by SIHA recounted how she was arrested by public order police in Khartoum and charged with gross indecency under Article 151 of the Criminal Act because she held hands with her boyfriend in public.

I was in a rickshaw with someone I had relationship with, he was holding my hand when a police patrol passed by and they took us to the police station. They charged us and the judge sentenced each of us to pay 2000 SDG (US$300). In the police station before the trial, a lieutenant asked me for my phone number indicating that the case would not be referred to trial - it was still referred to trial. At the police station, when people found out about the reason for my arrest - their attitude towards me changed. It was a horrible experience which damaged me.

Article 152 of the Criminal Act provides that:

1. Whoever commits in a public place or conducts himself in an indecent manner, or a manner contrary to public morality, or wears an indecent, or immoral dress, which causes annoyance to public feelings, shall be punished, with whipping, not exceeding forty lashes, or with a fine or with both.

2. The act shall be deemed contrary to public morality, if it is considered in the religion of the doer, or the custom of the county where the act occurs.

Article 152 grants public order police unfettered powers to determine what constitutes an indecent act, indecent dress and acts contrary to public morality. The vagueness of this provision is contrary to the principle of legality, which requires, amongst other things, a certain degree of precision and certainty. A prosecution which violates the principle of legality is a violation of the accused person's fair trial rights, and can constitute a defence to a criminal law prosecution, as no crime or punishment can exist without a legal ground. The principle of legality guarantees that a person cannot be convicted of a crime on the basis of a law that is excessively unclear.

The impact of this vague provision is that there is no clarity as to what acts or type of clothing could potentially lead to prosecution. This provision is frequently invoked to arrest women wearing trousers and those found in public without headscarves. Amira Osman, a women rights activist who was detained by the public order police for not wearing a headscarf, stated to SIHA “I am a Muslim woman but I will not cover my head, a piece of cloth should not determine my spirituality.”

Ms Lubna Ahmad Hussein, a journalist, was arrested by police together with 12 other women at a restaurant in Khartoum on 3 July 2009 for wearing trousers. The women, four of whom were Southern Sudanese, and three of whom were under the age of 18, were charged under Article 152 of the Criminal Act. Ten of the women pled guilty and received punishments of ten lashes each (two of them under the age of 18) and a fine of around 100 USD each. Lubna Hussein refused to plead guilty and publicly protested against her treatment. Her case generated publicity around the world, casting the spotlight on arbitrary law enforcement and administration of justice in the context of Sudanese public order laws. This may have been crucial in influencing the court’s eventual determination of her punishment; upon her conviction, she was ordered to pay a fine instead of being subjected to the customary whipping.

A similarly vague provision is Article 154 of the Criminal Act which criminalises prostitution. It stipulates that:

1. There shall be deemed to commit the offence of practicing prostitution, whoever is found in a place of prostitution so that it is likely he may exercise sexual acts, or earn therefrom, and shall be punished, with whipping, not exceeding hundred lashes or with imprisonment, for a term, not exceeding three years.

2. Place of prostitution means any place designated for the meeting of men, or women, or men and women between whom there are not marital relationship of kinship, in circumstances in which the exercise of sexual acts is probable to occur.

Like the vaguely defined Article 152, which criminalises immoral acts and indecent dressing, Article 154 is used as a measure to control women. Women gathering at home could easily be accused of prostitution as the crime is not defined in law, by their sheer presence in ‘a place’ irrespective of whether that location serves the

28 SIHA interview with inmate at Omdurman’s Women’s Prison, Khartoum, 2016.
29 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 16 December 1966, UN Treaty Series, vol. 999, Article 15.
31 Interview with SIHA.
purpose of prostitution. Public order police frequently invoke this provision to repress professional women’s activities as it has been interpreted to cover all situations in which a woman is found in a place with a man that is not related to her. This provision has also been construed to extend to situations where males and females are found working beyond normal office hours.

As the climate created by the existence of the public order regime presumes women’s guilt, a miscarriage can be interpreted as an abortion, which is criminalised in section 135 of the Criminal Act. A miscarriage may also lead to a charge of adultery. Section 135 violates reproductive health rights as a woman who suffers a miscarriage and is in need of medical assistance is presumed guilty of abortion and immediately arrested and detained.

Adultery is criminalised in Article 145 of the Criminal Act. It is usually proven through (a) confession, (b) the testimonies of four male witnesses, (c) pregnancy or, specifically in the case of married adulterers, (d) lia’an, which is an instance in Muslim tradition where a husband accuses his wife of adultery, and the wife must swear she is innocent in order to deny it. Nawaz recalls how public order police arrested her in Khartoum and charged her with Article 135 prohibiting abortion and Article 145 prohibiting adultery after she had a miscarriage.

I was walking on the street when then I went into a house to use the toilet. I had a miscarriage and the woman (in that house) called the police. I got arrested for abortion and for adultery. I was at the police station for three days before being transferred to the prison.

Salma was arrested at her home in Khartoum by public order police along with a man with whom she had a romantic relationship. She was charged with adultery. Salma’s pregnancy at the time of her arrest was used as evidence against her. Salma was released on bail before her trial. Faced with the reality of potentially being sentenced to a hundred lashes, Salma fled the court’s jurisdiction before the conclusion of her trial. An arrest warrant was issued against her and her case is on hold until she is arrested or reappears.

The KPOA and the provisions of the 1991 Criminal Act outlined above are not applied in a vacuum and must be considered in the context of Sudan’s obligations under the regional and international human rights instruments it has ratified. As will be outlined in the following chapters, many of the provisions in these laws are contrary to Sudan’s human rights obligations, as is their enforcement in practice.

ii.3. The Ineffectiveness of Local Remedies in Sudan

Victims of crimes involving bodily injury may receive compensation in criminal proceedings in three ways: (i) compensation awarded as part of a supplementary civil suit; (ii) dia (blood money); or (iii) in the form of a fine designated for that purpose. In civil proceedings, a torture survivor or relatives of a torture victim can claim damages for tort, i.e. trespass against the person, under civil law.

For crimes such as torture and other ill-treatment where the alleged perpetrator is an official, a civil suit may be instituted against the state but a suit against the perpetrator itself can only proceed if the head of the forces (for example the National Intelligence and Security Services; the Armed Forced) concerned lifts immunity. There is no procedure in place to request the lifting of immunity, which is entirely at the discretion of the head of the relevant forces. As a result, in the vast majority of cases, immunity of the officials allegedly responsible for the torture and ill-treatment presents an insurmountable obstacle for proceedings to proceed beyond the filing of a complaint. The African Commission and other human rights bodies have criticised the existing immunity provisions as being incompatible with Sudan’s obligation to provide victims with access to an effective remedy, and urged Sudan to enact relevant legislative reforms. Sudan has yet to adhere to these recommendations.

In practice, where lawyers have brought civil claims before criminal courts they have on some occasions been asked to bring a separate civil suit before civil courts instead. However, such civil proceedings take a long time, and compensation awards are usually very low in any case. As a result, lawyers do not view it as worthwhile to pursue this avenue even if it were to result in a successful outcome.

35 SIHA, Beyond Troubles, p. 11.
36 SIHA interview with an inmate, Khartoum 2016.
37 SIHA interview with affected with affected woman, Khartoum 2016.
38 SIHA interview with Ministry of Justice Official, Khartoum 2016.
39 Article 52 (3) of the National Security Act 2010, article 45 (2) of the Police Act 2008 and article 34 (2) of the Armed Forces Act, 2007.
40 See for example, African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights, Concluding Observations on Sudan’s 4th and 5th Periodic Reports, 2008-2012, urging Sudan to “[R]epeal Article 52 (3) of the National Security Act 2010 that provides members of the NISS and their associates with immunity from criminal and civil proceedings”, at http://www.achpr.org/statutes/sudan/reports/4thand5th-2008-2012/.
41 REDRESS and Sudan Organisation against Torture (SOAT), National and international remedies for torture: A handbook for Sudanese lawyers, 2005 p. 35.
Public order laws and their discriminatory application – on the basis of gender, race, ethnicity, nationality and economic status – are inconsistent with Sudan’s international, regional and constitutional obligations to protect women’s rights. Non-discrimination, and its corollary equality, are key components of women’s (and indeed every person’s) human rights, embodied for instance in Articles 3, 23, 25 and 26 of the ICCPR. Both the ICCPR and the ICESCR include accessory rights to non-discrimination in Articles 2(1) and 2(2) respectively. For example, Article 2(1) of the ICCPR provides a right to non-discrimination attaching to all other human rights recognised in the ICCPR. It obliges States to undertake to respect and to ensure to all individuals within its jurisdiction the rights in the Covenant, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.

At the regional level, Article 2 of the African Charter obliges all States Parties including Sudan to guarantee non-discrimination by ensuring the enjoyment of the rights recognised and guaranteed in the Charter “without distinction of any kind such as race, ethnic group, colour, sex, language, religion, political or any other opinion, national and social origin, fortune, birth or any status.” Article 3(1) provides that every individual shall be equal before the law and guarantees that every individual shall be entitled to equal protection of the law. Under Article 18(3) the State shall ensure the elimination of discrimination against women and ensure the protection of the rights of women and the child as stipulated in international declarations and conventions.

Sudan is therefore obliged to prevent gender and intersectional discrimination in accordance with regional and international human rights obligations. These are to some extent incorporated in Articles 27(3) and 31 of its Interim Constitution of 2005, which provides that all are equal before the law and are entitled without discrimination on the basis of race, colour, sex, language, religious creed, political opinion, or ethnic origin, to the equal protection of the law. Further, in Article 32(1), the 2005 Constitution obliges the State to guarantee equal rights to men and women and the enjoyment of all civil, political, social, cultural and economic rights.

These various provisions stand in stark contrast to Sudan’s public order laws and their enforcement. Laws, policies and practices put in place to suit those with power and which may appear on their face to be non-discriminatory are not sufficient in and of themselves to guarantee equality. Laws must go further and tackle the specific needs of marginalised communities and there must be greater consideration of the extent to which the implementation of laws can be discriminatory. For example, Article 75 of the Criminal Act prohibits the brew and sale of alcohol by everyone, men and women. It is a gender neutral provision. However in practice, the majority of individuals arrested for these offences, as indicated by the research undertaken for this report, are women with roots in Nuba mountains or South Sudan, where the production, consumption and sale of alcohol is part of their way of life.

Similarly, Article 152 of the 1991 Criminal Act, while being neutrally worded, is primarily aimed at and applied against women. Other instances of discrimination are reflected in the application of the law of evidence, where, for some offences such as adultery, only men can provide admissible evidence which amounts to de jure discrimination. The laws on sexual violence fail to provide equal and adequate protection of women’s rights to physical and mental integrity, which constitutes both discrimination and a failure to implement positive obligations to prevent and protect against torture and other ill-treatment and the right to health (see further below, Chapter VI). Article 149 of the Criminal Act defines rape with reference to adultery, creating confusion over evidentiary requirements for prosecution (adultery requires for male eye-witnesses of the act) and puts women at risk of facing prosecution for adultery if they cannot prove rape.

In April 2015, Sudan passed a number of amendments to the 1991 Criminal Act, including long awaited amendments concerning rape and sexual violence. An amendment to article 149 changed the definition of rape to reflect international standards so that Sudanese women would no longer face charges of adultery or committing immoral acts for sexual crimes committed against them. A closer look however shows that serious gaps remain around clear guidance to law enforcement officers and judges. As a result, the criminal justice outlook for survivors of sexual violence in Sudan remains bleak. Despite reports that these provisions have been
amended, the government is yet to publicly release the amendments to the Criminal Act.

The Government of Sudan should take proactive measures to address existing legislative and institutional discrimination, disadvantages and barriers to the enjoyment of women's rights so as to combat gender discrimination.46 In addition to reforming existing legislation and institutions so as to better align them with regional and international obligations, Sudan should adopt comprehensive anti-discrimination or equality laws. Any such initiative should embrace a holistic approach to substantive equality which looks beyond the surface to how discrimination may occur in the course of the implementation of laws and policies and recognises both the uniqueness of each different type of inequality and the overarching aspects of different inequalities.

This holistic approach brings together: (a) types of inequalities based on different grounds, such as race, gender, religion, nationality, disability, sexual orientation, and gender identity, among others; (b) types of inequalities in different areas of civil, political, social, cultural, and economic life, including employment, education, and the provision of goods and services; and (c) socio-economic inequalities.47

Taking into account these different types of inequalities would help to ensure that the intersection between gender, poverty, race, ethnicity, economic background is adequately factored in to the formulation of meaningful responses to human rights violations resulting from the application of public order laws.

iv.1. International Standards on the Right to Liberty and the Use of Force by Law Enforcement

Under international law, an individual may only be lawfully deprived of his or her liberty on grounds and according to procedures established by law.48 An arrested person must be informed of the reasons for the arrest and promptly informed of any charges.49 Anyone arrested also has a right to be brought promptly before a judge to determine the legality of his or her detention.50 These standards are also reflected in the African Commission’s Guidelines on the conditions of arrest, police custody and pre-trial detention in Africa.51

48 ICCPR, Article 9; African Charter, Article 6.
49 ICCPR, Article 6; African Charter, Article 4.
50 ICCPR, Article 7; African Charter, Article 5.
51 ICCPR, Article 17.
52 ICCPR, Article 9(1); International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, 20 December 2006, Article 17(2)(a); African Charter, Article 6; Principles and Guidelines on the Right to Fair Trial and Legal Assistance in Africa, 2003 (Fair Trial Guidelines), DOC/OS-XIX/247, Section M(1)(b).
53 ICCPR, Article 9(2).
54 ICCPR, Article 9(2).
Domestic laws and regulations on arrest and detention must conform to international standards. Arrests without warrant in circumstances where a warrant is required by domestic law, and holding individuals in custody for longer than the period authorised in national law amount to arbitrary arrest and detention. International standards provide that arrests and detentions must not be based on discriminatory grounds and prohibit policies and procedures allowing arrest and detention based on racial, ethnic or other profiling.

Furthermore, the use of force by law enforcement officials is governed by principles of necessity and proportionality. International instruments such as the Code of Conduct for Law Enforcement Officials (Code of Conduct) and the Basic Principles on the Use of Force and Firearms by Law Enforcement Officials provide important guidance in this respect. Article 4 of the Code of Conduct sets out the principle that “law enforcement officials may use force only when strictly necessary and to the extent required for the performance of their duty.” The African Commission reiterated in its General Comment on the right to life that “[T]he primary duty of law enforcement officials – meaning any actor officially tasked with exercising a law enforcement function, including police – is to protect the safety of the public. The State must take all reasonable precautionary steps to protect life and prevent excessive use of force by its agents.” The use of force that is not necessary and proportionate under international law may amount to a violation of the prohibition of torture or other prohibited ill-treatment, and, where it results in death, of the right to life.

**iv.2. The Right to Liberty and the Use of Force under Sudan’s Public Order Regime**

Relevant provisions of Sudanese law fall short of the international standards on the right to liberty as outlined above. The Criminal Procedure Act of 1991 for instance does not provide for prompt access to a lawyer of one’s choice, fails to provide that an arrested person should be brought before a judge promptly (normally within the first 48 hours of arrest) and does not provide for an unequivocal right of compensation for arbitrary arrest and detention.

Furthermore, the aggressive approach of public order police during arrests similarly fails to adhere to the above standards. Public order raids range from violent community confrontations, the round-up of individuals in cafes to arbitrary office and house raids. Because the raids are random, and the laws governing them vague, Khartoum residents, particularly those in poor neighbourhoods live under a cloud of fear not knowing when public order police will raid their homes or business premises.

The raids and arrests are inherently discriminatory. Public order police target women human rights activists, women who brew or sell alcohol and women who hail from certain parts of the country, who are viewed as security threats and responsible for criminality. An official interviewed by SIHA confirmed that:

“I worked in different administrative units including the outskirts in Khartoum and Omdurman… we used to coordinate with public order police for raids in those areas. Here, it is different; police still raid women dealing in alcohol in some places in the neighbourhood usually after complaints from the people living there. But for other things like dress code, we always ask them (public order police) not to intervene much except against beggars or homeless boys who wash cars or even some street vendors. Most of families here have contacts or they are relatives to officials in the government. Also, they are all well behaved and just young people who want to enjoy their life. There is no similar risk here comparing to places like Dar Al-Salam, where most people are from Darfur or South Sudan, and they pose security threats and spread criminality.”

Raids by public order police are frequently carried out violently and are accompanied by beatings and assaults. According to Leilah, an alcohol brewer and seller:

“The police usually raids my area of work two times a week, even though sometimes they will not show up for the whole month. The raid is usually supposed to be conducted in three public order police trucks – they beat us and confiscate our materials. They also insult and harass us while doing so, referring to us as slaves and that we should be cleaned out of the country.”

In addition, as outlined in further detail below, some public order police officers exploit the vulnerability of women detained in police stations following raids by demanding sexual favours in exchange for their release.
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Public Order Police Raid of the Umm Dawm Community

The brutal nature of the raids, as part of the implementation of public order laws, has furthermore resulted in deaths of community members. On 14 October 2015, public order police carried out a raid, in search of alcohol, in the Umm Dawm community, near Khartoum. Umm Dawm lies on the banks of the Blue Nile and is mainly inhabited by low-income communities, IDPs and migrant workers. The majority of its inhabitants are involved in the informal sector, working as tea-sellers, alcohol-sellers, petty traders and brick-makers.

The nature of the raid in Umm Dawm community was so violent that residents sought to flee by swimming across a nearby river. Achol Kuol, a woman struggling to swim across the river, was hit on the head by a police officer before losing consciousness. She drowned. A six-month old baby left along the river bank by a fleeing mother was hurled into the water by a police officer who reportedly stated ‘Go follow your mother.’ The baby was rescued by community members but died a few days later from injuries resulting from the violent experience. Other community members who died that day include Salwa Ali Koko (a mother), Fatima Ali Nato and Lisa Ali Bakheet. All three had been attempting to swim when they were pelted with stones by public order police as they struggled to keep afloat. Appeals by bystanders to the public order police to call the Civil Defence Rescue Operation to assist the drowning community members were ignored.68

The Ministry of Interior Affairs denied the occurrence of the incident and released a statement that no drowning had been recorded in the two weeks prior to the Umm Dawm incident. This official denial made it difficult for relatives and the community to coordinate the search for the missing bodies with the Civil Defense Rescue Unit.69

This incident depicts the level of violence meted out on marginalised communities by public order police in the course of their work. This was not an isolated incident and mirrored earlier incidents in which public order raids have similarly resulted in deaths. In March 2012, Awadia Ajabna, a school teacher and resident in the Al-Fath neighborhood of Khartoum, was shot dead by public order police during a raid in her neighbourhood.70 Further back in 2010, Nadia Saboon, a tea-seller, tried to escape public order police during a kasha sweep in Souq Arabi market. She fell on an iron bar and suffered injuries, from which she died. To this date no one has been held accountable for these deaths.71

A community member summarised the impunity of public order police for violations committed during public order raids. She observed that: “The excessive use of force by police is very noticeable in these raids, but there is nobody to hold them accountable.”72

Residential Raids

Residences in Khartoum are not exempt from public order raids. Public order police force their way into homes in search of alcohol and to arrest individuals suspected of committing public order offences. According to an interviewee, “Police officers climb over walls and invade houses with no respect for privacy. We are psychologically traumatised by the raids and the inhumane treatment we face.”73

Raids are associated with alleged immoral acts such as adultery, alcohol brewing and they single out individuals and their families as undesirable members of a community. Residential raids are therefore not only unsettling for targeted persons; they ostracise the individuals and entire families.

Hayat, originally from the Nuba Mountains and currently resident in Shandi city (approximately 100km from Khartoum) recounted to SIHA interviewers, an incident where the public order police raided her house in search of alcohol. Despite the illegality of the search which was carried out without a search warrant, Hayat was prosecuted. As police did not find anything in her house, she was acquitted due to a lack of evidence. However, despite her innocence, Hayat, together with her husband and their seven children were subsequently evicted from their home.74

Arrests for public order offences are dependent on a public order police officer’s interpretation of an act or an outfit’s indecency. The implementation of the public order regime has created a climate of fear within poorer areas of Khartoum and throughout the country with individuals, particularly women, hesitant to go about their daily activities.

Once arrested and charged with public order offences, women start an arduous journey that frequently leads to flawed trials, fines, imprisonment and/or severe corporal punishment. As there is no accountability for violations committed during arrest, and as relevant legal provisions providing for these arrests are vague and thus provide authorities with unfettered discretion, there is no incentive for public order police to change their behaviour.
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Infringement of Fair Trial Rights

Following arrest for a public order offence, women are prosecuted before public order courts, established by the Chief Justice to conduct summary trials of public order law offences. In practice, these courts can execute their sentences immediately after the court’s verdict, even though there is a right of appeal. The experiences of the women interviewed as part of this report paint a grim picture and reflect the inconsistency of these practices with constitutional provisions and Sudan’s obligations under the African Charter and other international standards.

Women detainees interviewed by SIHA, including for example a women’s rights activist who was detained with two young women from Nuba Mountains, stated how they had been held at a police station for twenty-five days without being charged or having the legality of their detention determined by a judge.

One of the women was arrested on suspicion of adultery while the other was pregnant outside marriage. This is contrary to regional and international standards which stipulate that individuals have a right to be brought before a judge promptly. The UN Human Rights Committee has recognised that 72 hours of detention without being brought before a judge is excessive and not in compliance with international standards.

The right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty is another essential fair trial right enshrined in regional and international law. It is also guaranteed in Article 34(1) of the 2005 Constitution and section 4(c) of the Criminal Procedure Code of 1991. These provisions notwithstanding, the treatment of suspects from the moment of their arrest through to their detention by public order police and their prosecution before public order courts, calls into question the respect for the presumption of innocence until proven guilty. For example, SIHA interviewed a lawyer who observed a trial, in which a single bottle was tendered as evidence to convict three women charged separately for brewing and selling alcohol. All three pleaded guilty, as they were made to fear long prison sentences if they were to be convicted following a full trial.

No Legal Assistance

Sudan is obliged to ensure that anyone accused of having committed a crime has a right to legal assistance. Article 34(6) of the 2005 Interim Constitution stipulates a suspect’s right to a lawyer of his or her choice, and provides that legal aid shall be available to suspects charged with serious offences. The article does not specifically point out the kinds of offences that are considered serious.

---
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These provisions notwithstanding, the right to legal assistance is frequently not complied with in the wider criminal justice system as well as in cases involving public order offences. One lawyer interviewed by SIHA indicated that most women with long prison sentences for non-public order related crimes in Omdurman Women’s Prison never had legal representation during their trials.87 Most are poor and unaware of their right to an attorney and when they do not demand an attorney, one is not provided. A female prisoner who had served more than 20 years in total in Omdurman and Al Obeid prisons, stated that she had never had a lawyer for any trial, and that she was unaware she had such rights.88

The precarious situation of individuals accused of a public order offence is further compounded by the unprofessional behaviour of public order police and public order judges who actively discourage accused persons from engaging lawyers in their trials, arguing that their involvement complicates cases. A Sudanese man arrested for drinking alcohol explained his experience in this regard:

I, alongside three friends, was arrested by public order police in August 2015 for drinking alcohol, after which we spent the night at a police station. Early in the morning, we were transported to the court where the police were surprised to see our lawyers. They negotiated with us that there is no need for lawyers. [They said] ‘Without lawyers you will only be flogged 40 lashes and pay 200 SDG (US$ 30) as a fine, so for your own good, don’t involve these lawyers.’ We didn’t follow this advice, and at the court our lawyers told the judge they were representing us. The judge also said there was no need for lawyers’ representation, but our lawyers insisted on attending, and in the end they agreed with the judge that they would only attend the court but not participate in the court’s proceedings. To our surprise, we were only sentenced to 40 lashes, while our counterparts who were not represented by lawyers were sentenced to 24 25 lashes, and one month of imprisonment.89

This kind of dissuasion by police and judges against the use of lawyers also extends to situations in which lawyers wish to appeal their clients’ cases. A lawyer interviewed by SIHA referred to occasions when he indicated his intention to appeal decisions and found his clients’ files emptied of official documentation. 90 The hostility of judges and police officers to counsel’s participation in court processes makes it extremely difficult for lawyers to defend their clients and meaningfully participate in proceedings.

Another lawyer described the challenges to access his female clients in public order police stations and defend them in court. Public order police officials are reluctant to allow lawyers to visit clients, insisting that there is no need to release women on bail as they will be arraigned in court the next day. Similarly, some public order judges consider lawyers a burden in trials as their presence may prevent judges from proceeding with a summary trial without hearing the defence.91

Forcing Women to Confess Guilt in Court

The right not to be compelled to confess guilt is protected under international law.92 The Criminal Procedure Code of 1991 provides in Section 55(1) (a) that a person shall not be compelled to incriminate him or herself or to confess guilt.

However, women arrested under public order laws are frequently not informed of their right not to incriminate themselves or are compelled to confess guilt. Women appearing before public order judges feel that they have no option but to confess to the alleged crime whether they committed it or not. This is because if they deny the accusations, the judge will naturally invite the public order police officers who arrested the accused as ‘witnesses’ to testify. The case then becomes a contest between two opposing versions of the events, and given the marginalised status of the women they are routinely not believed.

Independence of the Judiciary

Under regional and international human rights standards, judges must ensure that judicial proceedings are conducted fairly and that the parties’ rights are respected.93 Article 123 of the 2005 Constitution stipulates that the national judiciary shall be independent of the legislature and the executive, with the necessary financial and administrative independence.

Despite the protection of these rights in constitutional, regional and international standards, the reality in public order courts suggests that these rights are frequently ignored. Some judges appear to be preoccupied with ensuring that suspects charged with public order offences are convicted within the shortest possible time, rather than ensuring a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal. In explaining why three women pleaded guilty to a charge of alcohol with a single piece of evidence, a lawyer who observed the proceedings noted:

These women know the public order court well. If they complained or denied, the reaction of the judge is always ‘if you insist this product is not yours or that you didn’t commit this crime, I will request witnesses, and if they testify differently, I will double your punishment.’94

A lawyer noted that the prevalence of stigmatisation of accused persons by judges and police officials renders them partial, contrary to the principle of the independence
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and impartiality of the judiciary. Judges’ ingrained attitudes and biases oftentimes influence their decisions during their conduct of public order trials. The research for this report suggests that those arrested for prostitution or sexual intercourse out of marriage for instance are usually brought to court in the clothes they were arrested in. A former police officer noted that this practice “has an influence on the judge… when we used to bring people, especially girls with tiny clothes and trousers, it was easy for him to arrive at a sentence.”

**Discriminatory Sentencing Practices**

The discriminatory application of the public order laws is also reflected in the sentencing practice of the public order courts.

Judges of public order courts treat women accused of public order crimes differently than men and based on their appearance and ethnic origin. For example, women from Nuba Mountains and South Sudan face discrimination because they look different. The situation of women from South Sudan is compounded by the complexity of the relationship between Sudan and South Sudan, following South Sudan’s independence. The involvement of these women in the brew and sale of alcohol, an activity that is criminalised and viewed as immoral, makes them prone to discrimination by judges.

When asked about the types of crimes prosecuted in the public order courts and the process for judging and sentencing those accused, a public order judge confirmed that judgments and sentences are subjective and biased:

> The majority of women detained are alcohol sellers and makers, and most of them are arrested during the weekend. The second category is women with immoral dressing and behaviour. Most of these women are captured during non-Islamic festivals like Christmas, New Years, and Valentine's Day. Alcohol sellers and makers are clear due to their shape, but the problem comes with the girls that were accused of immoral dressing and behaving. Most of them look like good girls who come from respectful families, so I do my best not to allow them to go to prison. All I order is flogging or fines, and most of the time I make them promise not to do things like that and then release them.”

Discriminatory sentencing and discriminatory interpretation of the law are also evident in instances in which men and women are arrested for brewing and selling alcohol under Article 79 of the Criminal Act. Under Article 79, selling alcohol is punishable by up to one year in prison or a fine. Judges do not have other sentencing guidelines, and consequently sentence at their discretion. While the fines for women are set quite high, men rarely have to pay such high fines.

SIHA’s research suggests that many public order judges, police officers and prosecutors, are not familiar with the right to equality before the law enshrined in Sudan’s Constitution. A judge noted that while they receive training in procedural aspects, their training is mainly delivered by supervisory judges, who may not be well versed with equality and non-discrimination provisions. Race and ethnicity are also factors taken into account by public order court judges. A lawyer observed that:

> During a trial of several men found in a prostitution house, the judge singled out a suspect who, due to his physical appearance, appeared to be from the northern part of Sudan. The judge wondered why the man who seemed like a well behaved Muslim was found in a prostitution house. At the end of the trial, the man from the northern part of Sudan was fined 250 SDG (US$40) and the rest of the men from southern and western parts of the country were fined 2000 SDG (US$300) each.

---
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The Public Order Regime and the Absolute Prohibition of Torture and Other Ill-Treatment

The public order regime is characterised by the systemic use of torture and ill-treatment of women. As mentioned above, torture and ill-treatment may occur during arrest and public order raids, and is also committed once women are in custody, in prison and when sentenced to corporal punishment.

Regional and international treaties Sudan has ratified prohibit torture and other ill-treatment under any circumstance. The prohibition of torture is also an integral part of Sudan's 2005 Constitution. Sudan is therefore obliged to prevent, prohibit and punish torture and ill-treatment and to provide redress to victims where it occurs.

These obligations notwithstanding, torture and ill-treatment – as part of the public order regime, but also beyond – is prevalent throughout Sudan. Over the last decade, national, regional and international actors have identified a series of problems in Sudan's legislative and institutional framework and practice in relation to the prohibition of torture.101 However, the Government of Sudan has not taken measures to effectively combat torture. No anti-torture policy or coordinated efforts are in place to tackle the causes of torture through relevant reforms or adequate responses to individual cases.

vi.1. Conditions in Police Stations

In addition to the absolute prohibition of torture and ill-treatment, regional and international standards require that the human rights of detainees and prisoners must be respected and ensured.102 These obligations extend to all detainees without discrimination and apply regardless of nationality or immigration status.103 The African Commission considers that every detained person is entitled to proper conditions of detention, and that detention must be subject to basic human rights standards.104

In Khartoum police stations, cells are typically equipped to hold six to ten people. In reality, the number of detainees can vary from one to more than fifty people being held at one time.105 According to the Sudan Criminal Act, any accused person can be held for a maximum of twenty-four hours in a police station, after which detention can be renewed for seventy-two hours. In reality, female detainees are frequently held much longer in detention centres, with multiple examples of female detainees held for several months in detention, identified during the conduct of SHIA's research.106

A lawyer working with detained Ethiopian and Eritrean women stated that her clients are crowded inside in inhumane situations in the narrowest of spaces.107 Additionally, these women are subject to theft of their belongings including phones and money during detention and arrest.108

vi.2. Sexual Violence in Police Stations

The right to freedom from torture and other ill-treatment or punishment is absolute, and includes the right not to be subjected to rape or other forms of sexual violence.109 Indeed, jurisprudence of regional and international human rights mechanisms recognises that rape committed against detainees will always amount to torture.110 Any sexual conduct between detainees or prisoners and officials or staff is presumed to be coerced, due to the nature of the inherently coercive environment of incarceration.111

The research for this report identified the dire conditions in police stations where women
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are prone to routine sexual abuse.\textsuperscript{111} The research found that the widespread nature of the abuse tends to relate to a woman’s racial, social and economic status, with women from marginalised communities most likely to face discrimination.\textsuperscript{112} Furthermore, there is no external oversight of practices within police stations and detainees do not have access to complaints mechanisms.

A prosecutor stated how he is reluctant to allow women to stay in a police station overnight because “during the night, the officers either sleep or leave the jail, and these women will be alone with soldiers and you know soldiers attitudes.”\textsuperscript{113} The research has also established that it is not uncommon for police to blackmail women in police stations by telling them that they will be released if they have sex with them.\textsuperscript{114} Ethiopian and Eritrean women are particularly vulnerable to this form of abuse.\textsuperscript{115}

An Ethiopian woman arrested in Khartoum stated:

\textit{I spent one month in the police station in Khartoum Babri Shambat, and during the day I cleaned the jail while at night I was raped by police.}\textsuperscript{116}

A former detainee at a police station stated:

\textit{During my visit at Kalakala police station, I found two young girls in their early twenties, both originally from Nuba Mountains. One was pregnant outside marriage and the other was accused of adultery. During my first and last nights in the detention centre, I discovered that these two girls were raped daily by police, and that was why the police didn’t want them to go to court or prison. I was then transferred to the prison and until now I do not know what happened to them.}\textsuperscript{117}

Activists are also prone to suffer sexual violence by officials in custody as indicated by the reported patterns of abuse. In February 2011, three security officers raped Safia Ishaq Mohammed Issa, a student human rights activist, in custody.\textsuperscript{118} In April 2015, during the election, a woman activist was arrested and “reappeared, after three days in detention, outside marriage and the other was accused of adultery. During my first and last nights in the detention centre, I discovered that these two girls were raped daily by police, and that was why the police didn’t want them to go to court or prison. I was then transferred to the prison and until now I do not know what happened to them.”\textsuperscript{117} Human Rights Watch documented sexual assaults of student activists by security officials in its 2016 report.\textsuperscript{120}

\textbf{vi.3. Corporal Punishment}\textsuperscript{121}

Women convicted of public order offences such as wearing ‘indecent dress’ are frequently sentenced to pay fines, imprisonment and/or corporal punishment, mostly in the form of whipping (also referred to as flogging). The resort to flogging is based on the Criminal Act of 1991 and other statutes, including the Public Order Act, as set out above.\textsuperscript{122} Other forms of corporal punishment permissible under Sudanese law include amputation and stoning, though the research for this report has not identified any cases over recent years where such punishments were carried out.

The research for this report confirmed that the practice of whipping / flogging as a form of punishment is used primarily against those who are perceived not to conform to the public moral order. They often belong to marginalised communities.

Corporal punishment such as whipping is outlawed under international law.\textsuperscript{123} The African Commission considered whipping as a form of punishment in Sudan in a case in which women students of the Nuba Association were arrested and subsequently sentenced to fines and between 25 and 40 lashes for having violated article 152 of the Criminal Act of 1991. The lashes were carried out in public on the bare backs of the women using a wire and plastic whip, leaving permanent scars on the women. The African Commission considered that:-

\begin{quote}
[There is no right for individuals, and particularly the government of a country, to apply physical violence to individuals for offences. Such a right would be tantamount to sanctioning State sponsored torture under the [African] Charter and contrary to the very nature of this human rights treaty.]
\end{quote}

While this decision was rendered in 2003, public order courts continue to impose corporal punishment of this kind as a sanction after conviction for public order offences, contrary to Sudan’s regional and international obligations.

Nahla, a university student recounts that during a visit to a friend employed in a shisha and beverage café, public order police raided the establishment and arrested all customers and employees. The two young women, along with others arrested, were taken to a police station and later released on bail. The next morning they were charged before the Alshargi Public Order Court and convicted of the crime of serving and consuming shisha. They were each fined 2000 Sudanese Pounds (US$300). On account of wearing trousers, Nahla was additionally convicted under Section 152 of the Criminal Act for ‘indecent dress’ and fined an extra 5000 Sudanese Pounds (US$750) or six months imprisonment. In addition, Nahla was lashed twenty times.\textsuperscript{125}
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Detention in Omdurman Women’s Prison

It is a fundamental principle of international law that persons deprived of their liberty have the right to humane treatment and respect for the inherent dignity of the human person.  The right to humane treatment applies without discrimination and regardless of nationality or immigration status.  Cramped and unhygienic detention and lack of privacy in custody can amount to inhuman or degrading treatment. Any restrictions on detainees’ rights must be prescribed by law and must be necessary to achieve an aim that is legitimate under international standards.

As deprivation of liberty renders individuals vulnerable and dependent on the authorities for their essential needs, States are obliged to ensure that detainees have access to necessities and services that satisfy their basic needs. The State is therefore obliged to provide adequate and appropriate food, washing and sanitary facilities, bedding, clothing, health care, recreation, physical exercise, facilities to allow religious practice, and communication with others, including those in the outside world.

Specific additional requirements need to be met for women in detention, and international standards underscore the duty of States to address the gender-specific needs of women deprived of their liberty. They require States to provide for women's particular hygiene and health care needs, including pre-natal and post-natal care.

**Vii.1. Deplorable Conditions in Omdurman Women's Prison**

Women convicted for public order offences and sentenced to a term of imprisonment are placed in Omdurman Women’s Prison. Omdurman Women’s Prison is extremely overcrowded. Although it has capacity for 500 prisoners, it was reported that between 2011 and 2015 the average number of prisoners ranged from 900 – 1,100 women. South Sudanese women who shared a crowded section complain that they have no access to medical treatment and no beds. They are not protected from rain and extreme temperatures. One inmate stated to SIHA: “We get very exhausted and suffer when the rains pour.”

Maintaining personal hygiene in Omdurman Women’s prison is another serious challenge with inadequate access to toilets being reported by several inmates, as a cause of clashes. At the prison there were only sixteen toilets for the 965 women prisoners in 2015, equaling one toilet per every 60 women. The sanitation of the prison environment is reported to be unhealthy and causing sickness and the spreading of disease.

An inmate interviewed as part of this study stated that she had not showered for a month and that the bathrooms in the prison are flooded creating conditions amounting to “daily suffering.”

**Vii.2. Access to Health Care**

Prisoners have a right to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. The right to health extends not only to timely and appropriate health care. Specific additional requirements need to be met for women in detention, and international standards underscore the duty of States to address the gender-specific needs of women deprived of their liberty. They require States to provide for women's particular hygiene and health care needs, including pre-natal and post-natal care.
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care, but also to underlying determinants of health, such as adequate food, water and sanitation.\textsuperscript{145} Law enforcement officials and prison authorities are responsible for protecting the health of people in their custody.\textsuperscript{146} Health care should be provided free of charge.\textsuperscript{147}

In Omdurman Women’s Prison medical, social and psychological services are lacking or almost non-existent.\textsuperscript{148} Essential medical check-ups, which would protect many other prisoners from different contagious diseases like tuberculosis, are not conducted. The prison has recorded cases of yellow fever, and epidemic lung infections, and one case of HIV.\textsuperscript{149} The sanitation of the prison environment is poor, a factor which contributes to sickness and spread of diseases.\textsuperscript{150} Although a medical centre exists, it lacks both medical equipment and doctors. The lack of medicines is aggravated by the lack of a dedicated budget which makes the prison reliant on external support.\textsuperscript{151}

The best interests of children detained in prison together with their mothers should be considered in all decisions concerning their welfare. Such children should not be treated as prisoners and special provision should be made for them.\textsuperscript{152} Under Article 32(5) of the Constitution, the State shall protect the rights of the child as provided in the international and regional conventions ratified by Sudan.

The research highlights that children live under unacceptable conditions with their mothers in Omdurman Women’s Prison. The quality of food and water is poor and cases of malnourishment and dysentery are common among babies and children who are taken into prison with their mothers.\textsuperscript{153} The prison does not make provision for the welfare of children within the prison system and infants have to be fed by their mothers. The environmental health risk due to the overcrowding of the prisons raises major concern for the health of those infants.\textsuperscript{154} Older children within the prison risk being abused, with a prisoner confirming to SIHA that “when children are brought to the prison they are used as servants and if they refuse to take orders they get beaten.”\textsuperscript{155}
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made by the victim, there must be an investigation where there is information to suggest that an act of torture or other ill-treatment may have taken place.\textsuperscript{164}

In Sudan, the Organisation of Prisons and Treatment of Prisoners Act of 1992 sets out in broad terms the rights of prisoners and duties of the authorities. The Act’s provisions mention the specific needs of female prisoners only briefly, stipulating in Article 10 that women shall be detained separately from men and in Article 11 that special treatment shall be provided for pregnant women. However, the Act’s general provisions on health care,\textsuperscript{165} social care and supervision\textsuperscript{166} do not take into account specifically the needs of women prisoners.

The Act’s provisions are meant to be implemented together with internal regulations formulated by the prison service and the Ministry of the Interior. These regulations have not been published and are therefore not publicly available, and as a result, there is hardly any external scrutiny or oversight of Sudan’s prisons. Stakeholders cannot assess whether practices and procedures in these institutions as set out in the regulations meet Sudan’s regional and international human rights obligations nor can they provide suggestions for improvement of identified shortcomings. Independent oversight of prisons, stipulated in international standards as an essential safeguard against torture and ill-treatment in places of detention, does not exist in Sudan.\textsuperscript{167}

The research for this report has confirmed that abuse of prisoners in Omdurman Women’s Prison is endemic. Common human rights violations include verbal, physical, and sexual abuse, and exploitation.\textsuperscript{168} Violations of prisoners’ and detainees’ rights largely depend on racial, social, and economic status, as well as the alleged crime.\textsuperscript{169}

Interviews with current and former inmates of Omdurman Prison confirmed that prisoners are frequently brutally flogged as a disciplinary measure.\textsuperscript{170} Prison officials are vicious in their treatment of prisoners. A former prisoner recalled:

\begin{quote}
Prison officers brutally whip prisoners; I saw them suffer. Sometimes I saw them take women out of the bathroom to beat them, for no clear reason but only to intimidate them...those women suffer with serious consequences...\textsuperscript{171}
\end{quote}

Other forms of punishment by prison officials include beatings, denial of food and placing inmates in solitary confinement. Because abuses are relatively commonplace and widespread, many prisoners view their experiences as normal and are not aware that their human rights are being violated. They come to expect and accept flogging and other forms of abuse and insults as part of the experience of being detained.\textsuperscript{172} Many of the women interviewed did not think of these severe punishments as violations of their rights but rather saw them as consequences for breaking prison rules.\textsuperscript{173}

The brunt of this treatment is suffered by the most vulnerable women within prisons—alcohol sellers, migrants and women from Nuba Mountains and South Sudanese women. Women prisoners from South Sudan and Nuba mountains face discrimination from both inmates and prison guards. They have separate sleeping areas and suffer verbal abuse based on ethnicity and racial discrimination. Ethiopian women also experience discrimination and are “subjected to abuse and sexual exploitation by law enforcement due to their vulnerability as migrants.”\textsuperscript{174} Prison officials tend to order Ethiopian women, who they perceive as servants, to clean their offices.\textsuperscript{175}

Abuse towards those imprisoned for public order cases is a common practice. Najwa, serving time for adultery and abortion, recounts that: ‘I am discriminated against and called a ‘whore’. Sometimes I am not allowed to eat with others.’\textsuperscript{176}

The research pointed to instances of sexual violence in Omdurman Women’s Prison perpetrated by other inmates. A former inmate has recounted that group rape by other prisoners is common in the prison and is used to weaken the victimised prisoners.\textsuperscript{177} A community worker recalled that:

\begin{quote}
A group of Ethiopian and Eritrean girls were brought in. They were very young, about sixteen years of age. Their first night there a horrible thing happened. They were all raped. They were all raped with many objects and bottles. It was really horrible. They were very young. Having such a huge horrific incident, where the predators are other prisoners, raises serious questions around the level of protection afforded to women inside the prison. Migrant women are particularly left in a very vulnerable situation.\textsuperscript{178}
\end{quote}
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Beyond the severe physical and psychological harm caused by the application of the public order regime, women suspected of and convicted for public order offences suffer significant financial consequences as a result of the relentless collection of fines by public order courts and the corrupt tendencies of some public order police and judges.

viii.1. The Impact of Court Imposed Fines on Vulnerable Women

The penalties for most public order offences are fines and flogging. Those who can afford to pay the fine are set free, whereas women who cannot afford to pay usually end up serving a prison term. The result is that the majority of women who end up in prison are generally from low-income backgrounds.179

Women who cannot afford to pay the fines may opt to borrow money from friends and family. Nahla, a university student, was fined 2000 Sudanese pounds (US$300) for consuming shisha and 5000 Sudanese pounds (US$750) or six months imprisonment for ’indecent dress’ as she was in trousers at the time of arrest. She was also lashed twenty times. As she was unable to pay the fines immediately, she was placed into prison. Terrified by the prospect of further prison time and the reaction of her family if they found out she had been convicted and imprisoned, Nahla borrowed money to pay the fine. She was then released but now has to balance part-time work and studies to repay the money she borrowed.

Nahla was deeply traumatised by the experience of her arrest, lashing, and her brief imprisonment. In addition, she suffers great stress because of the debt as she is hardly making enough money to pay back her debt on time. This situation places her at great risk as a young woman bereft of support and resources. If she had not found part time work, she would have been forced back to prison or would have had to seek risky means of making money to repay the borrowed money.180

A woman who had her fine reduced after pleas of mercy recalls that:

The judge sentenced me to pay 4000 Sudanese Pounds (US$600) or six months imprisonment. I have no money and am the only earner in my family. I have six children.... Selling alcohol is my only source of funds. I applied for a mercy and the fine which was reduced to 2000 Sudanese Pounds (US$300) was paid by my family and neighbours.181

A former inmate who could not afford to pay the fine until it was reduced recounted to SIHA interviewers that:

The judge ordered me to pay a 5000 SDG fine (US$750), with 6 months in prison for non-payment. I stayed in prison for 2 months. The fine was reduced to 2500 Sudanese Pounds (US$375) which I paid.182

Many women, who do not have family and friends to lend them money to pay court imposed fines, inevitably end up in prison. An inmate noted: I was sentenced to pay a fine of 3000 Sudanese pounds (US$450). Since I didn’t have this amount, I am in the prison. I have two daughters; one is being looked after by my neighbours while the infant is here with me.183

viii.2. Revenue Accrued from Fines

In addition to the ’moral’ justification for the (enforcement of ) public order laws, there is also a significant financial motivation for the State – as well as relevant officials involved, such as judges and police - to see the public order laws enforced.

Statistics collated in October 2015 indicate that almost sixty percent of the sentences in public order courts were fines. The average monthly revenue through the collection of fines in a Khartoum State public order court is 12,000,000 Sudanese Pounds (US$759,494).184 Police, prosecutors and judges have ‘pre-established financial targets’ they must achieve through fine collection from convictions.185 Officials who reach these targets are paid an incentive in addition to their monthly salary. There is therefore a financial motivation for officials working within sections of the public order regime to “arrest, detain and charge large numbers of vulnerable people to meet financial targets.”186
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Community members interviewed believe that the application of the public order regime is not about preventing crime but rather collecting money from disadvantaged women for private gain of public order police and judges. Bribes levied by public order police and judges constitute a significant source of income and grants them power which they use to control and oppress poor people.187

A woman working in Khartoum stated that police "blackmail women either to pay money or to have sex with them so they can be released before going to the station"188 and once these payments stop "police usually come to blackmail them some more."189 Women held in police stations have been blackmailed by police officers who told them they will be released if they have sex with them.190

The corruption of public order police is not limited to ensuring that criminal cases are not pursued or that sentences are lenient in exchange for money, but appears to extend to sexual favours. A paralegal and activist remarked that during the raids, police "fabricate cases" against women who do not pay them.189 Public order police have also been known to extort money from women suspected of making alcohol as the price of non-interference with their trade. Refusal to pay bribes results in being charged and possible prison time. Therefore, there is a strong incentive for women to pay bribes to public order police.189

Corrupt public order police also take advantage of migrant women who do not speak Arabic. A lawyer working with Ethiopian and Eritrean refugees has explained that, in some cases, police officers issue fake fines to those already acquitted as a form of blackmail.191 There have also been reports of corrupt judges. In one case, a woman charged with zar, a ritual celebration banned by the Khartoum Public Order Act, narrated to SIHA interviewers that the judge appointed to consider her case was known for taking bribes.192

It is apparent that marginalised women endure financial and other hardships as a result of the application of public orders laws while the police and the public order judiciary benefit from the functioning of the current set up. Corruption in the administration of justice therefore proves to be a key barrier to justice for women suspected of public order offenses.193

Challenges arising from the implementation of the public order regime are woven into the lives of women in Khartoum and the wider Sudan.194 The uncertainty surrounding these broad laws and their arbitrary application, without notice and with little chance of challenge or redress, leaves women vulnerable and unprotected.195 The susceptibility of women to violations of these laws is compounded by the laws' vague provisions lending them to opportunistic interpretation and making it impossible to regulate one's behaviour so as to comply with the laws. The result is a climate of profound uncertainty and fear.196

Consequences of the Application of the Public Order Laws

ix.1. The Impact of Public Order Laws on Public Life

As outlined throughout this report, wearing what is considered an 'indecent outfit,' women's rights and student activism and selling of alcohol in Khartoum are all activities which potentially lead to arrests and oftentimes, fines, corporal punishment and imprisonment. The application of the public order regime affects various aspects of women's lives and has alienated women from the authorities who should ordinarily protect them. The relationship between women and law enforcement officials, in particular public order police in Khartoum is fraught with suspicion.

The public order regime limits women's participation in public and social life as its aggressive implementation has led many women to take the decision not to walk on the street at all in Khartoum, insisting on driving even very short distances, or being accompanied.197 Families have become fearful of encouraging or indeed allowing their daughters to engage in public life - with this affecting not only women and girls' social development but also, by implication, the fabric of Sudanese society.198
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The presumptuous sexualisation by the State of all encounters between women and men has a serious impact on the physiological, psycho-social and community development of young Sudanese men and women and more generally, the Sudanese social and cultural fabric since 1989 when the public orders laws were first enacted.201

ix.2. The Impact of Public Order Laws on University Life

The public order regime limits student interaction at higher institutions of learning where interaction between female and male students is frowned upon. University public order police patrol campuses to monitor what students wear. This interference into campus life diminishes the university experience, curtails collegial friendships and negatively affects social interaction among students.202 Where female students are caught up in the public order regime, for instance when arrested and convicted for indecent dress, this may impact their ability to continue their studies. Their families may request them to return home, as in their view arrest and conviction would shame the family.203

ix.3. The Impact of the Public Order Laws on Family Life and Livelihood

Mere suspicion of having committed a public order crime can have devastating consequences on women's family lives.204 Hayat, from Nuba Mountains, was ostracised from her local community on the basis of mere suspicion that she was an alcohol seller. She was harassed by community members and exposed to racist comments. Even though Hayat was found not guilty by a public order court, her neighbours voiced their complaints to the local administrator, Motamad, who without the authority to do so, evicted Hayat along with her husband and seven children.205 Additionally, her husband, an employee of a railway company, was dismissed from his work because of the accusations against his wife.

SIHA reached out to Hayat, and helped her institute a case to challenge these injustices. Her case progressed to the Constitutional Court in Khartoum, where it was pending at the time of writing. Hayat is now the sole earner of the family household, working as a tea and food seller, and barely able to support her seven children. Her husband cannot find any work due to the political influence of Motamad and local officials. Until the case is resolved, Hayat and her family will not be able to return to their family house as she struggles to make ends meet.

This case highlights the depth of the stigma surrounding the implementation of the public order regime in Sudan. It also highlights societal approval of flawed laws, normalising obvious breaches of citizens' rights.

ix.4. The Intersection of the Public Order Justice System and Poverty

The enforcement of public order laws has far reaching consequences on women’s experiences with judicial processes. Lack of awareness of rights and the inability to afford bail results in women being detained pending trial; the inability to pay court imposed fines leads to imprisonment. The majority of women who cannot afford to bribe officials to avoid arrest are charged and prosecuted under public order laws. Most are convicted in flawed, summary trials but also because they are most likely to plead guilty in the hope of getting less severe sentences. These experiences deter women from accessing justice. They also discourage women from relying on a judicial system they view as corrupt.

The often exorbitant fines levied on vulnerable women add a burden to their already precarious situations. In addition, their ability to generate income is curtailed as soon as they are arrested. This especially affects single women and their children.

ix.5. The Impact of Imprisonment and Abuse in Detention

The vast majority of women who have been detained have experienced trauma and require psychosocial support. Those who suffered abuse or other violations of their rights in detention cannot seek redress as no independent complaint mechanisms exist. This entrenches impunity of police and prison officials, contributes to the continuation of abuse and serves to undermine faith in the justice system.

Imprisonment can rupture families and deeply affects the tight knit communities within which these women live. Children from single parent households risk being left homeless and destitute, and infants end up in prison with their mothers.206

Women have to live with the stigma of imprisonment and need to try to rebuild their lives. Alcohol sellers will sometimes return to the trade, as for some this is the only way to earn a regular income to sustain themselves and their families. The lack of training and education in prisons also means that women are worse off, in terms of skills, upon their release.
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Regional and international treaty bodies and mechanisms have recognised, in their consideration of the human rights situation in Sudan, that the public order regime results in violations of women's human rights. Complaints have been filed before the African Commission on Human and Peoples' rights due to the inability of the national judicial system to afford victims appropriate remedies.

x.1. The African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights

As the main regional human rights body and guardian of the African Charter, the African Commission has a crucial role to play in ensuring that States Parties including Sudan adhere to their obligations enshrined in the Charter. The Commission has received a large number of cases alleging violations of the Charter by Sudan, including cases that concern Sudan’s public order laws. In Curtis Francis Doebbler v Sudan,207 a case in which university students were sentenced to corporal punishment for indecent dress and immoral behaviour at a picnic, the African Commission ruled on the incompatibility of lashes with Sudan’s obligations under Article 5 of the African Charter (prohibition of torture and ill-treatment) and other international instruments. The African Commission determined that lashes violated Article 5 and urged Sudan to immediately amend the Criminal Law of 1991, in conformity with its obligations under the African Charter and other relevant international human rights instruments and abolish the penalty of lashes. The Commission also urged Sudan to take appropriate measures to ensure that the victims receive compensation.

Several cases involving the violations of women’s rights in Sudan as a result of the enforcement of public order laws were pending before the African Commission in September 2017. The case of Meriam Yabia Ibrahim concerns the sentencing of Ms Ibrahim to the death penalty (eventually commuted) and 100 lashes for apostasy and adultery, and also covers the conditions of her detention in Omdurman prison together with her son and while being pregnant.208 Safa Ishaq Mohammed Issa v Sudan concerns the arbitrary arrest and detention of a student activist who was raped by security officials.209 During a mission to Sudan in May 2015, the African Commission noted various challenges that inhibit the enjoyment of human rights by women in Sudan. These included the existence of legal provisions which limit women’s rights, arrest and detention, over-crowding in the Omdurman Women’s Prison as well as the application of various forms of violence on women including corporal punishment and torture and ill-treatment in some places of detention.210

After consideration of Sudan’s State report in 2012, the African Commission recommended that the Government of Sudan ensure that conditions of arrest, preliminary interrogation and detention of suspects comply with the principles of the Robben Island Guidelines.211 The African Commission advised that Sudan authorities ensure that judges, prosecutors, lawyers, police and prison officials receive training on human rights law and that reforms are introduced to strengthen the judiciary.212 It recommended that the Robben Island Guidelines be incorporated into the human rights programme of the Sudan Police College and the training of prison officers.213 In relation to the improvement of prison conditions, the Commission urged the government to adopt a holistic approach to decongestion and conditions of detention in the prisons, ensuring that the Prison Service receives adequate resources, including funding to improve living conditions and access to health care in prisons and places of detention.214 It also advised that the State should end the application of Sharia law to Christians and other non-Muslims groups.215

x.2. United Nations Treaty Bodies

The Human Rights Committee, the Committee on the Rights of the Child and the Committee on Economic Social and Cultural Rights have all considered the application of public order laws on the enjoyment of human rights in Sudan.

x.2.1. The Human Rights Committee

The Human Rights Committee has expressed concern about some of the violations highlighted in this report. In its concluding observations on Sudan’s State report in 2007, it recommended that in order to prevent torture and ill-treatment, specifically
in prisons, the Government of Sudan should guarantee that all allegations are investigated by an independent body, that perpetrators are punished and that victims are provided with reparations. It also advised that the State should improve the training of State agents so that arrested or detained persons are informed of their rights.216

In 2014, the Human Rights Committee urged Sudan to promote equality between men and women by raising awareness about women’s rights amongst the public and officials, particularly judges, prosecutors and the police.217 The Committee raised concerns about the persistence of discriminatory provisions against women in legislation, noting in particular the vaguely worded article 152 of the 1991 Criminal Code, on indecent conduct.218 It recommended that Sudan strengthen its efforts to guarantee de jure and de facto equality between men and women219 and to speed up the review of its domestic laws, including those governing the family and personal status and those concerning public indecency, in order to bring them into full conformity with articles 3, 23 and 26 of the Covenant.220

The Committee was concerned that violence against women was “still a serious problem.”221 It recommended Sudan to facilitate the reporting of rape and ensure that all cases of violence against women are promptly and thoroughly investigated, that perpetrators are brought to justice and adequately sanctioned, and that victims have access to adequate reparations and means of protection, including access to specialised shelters or centres.222

The Committee also expressed concern about the application of corporal punishment and advised Sudan to abolish corporal punishment in the penal system and to act vigorously to prevent any use of such punishments pending the repeal of the relevant legislation.223

x.2.2. The Committee on the Rights of the Child

After consideration of Sudan’s report in 2016, the Committee on the Rights of the Child expressed unease about the poor living conditions of young children and their incarcerated mothers in Khartoum prisons.224 The Committee recommended Sudanese authorities to take effective and urgent measures to ensure that living conditions for children in prison with their mothers, including access to health and education services, are adequate for the child’s physical, mental, moral and social development in accordance with article 27 of the Convention.225

The Committee advised Sudan to seek alternative measures to institutional confinement for pregnant women and mothers with young children and ensure that the best interests of the child is carefully and independently considered by competent child professionals prior to and during their stays with their detained mothers. It urged Sudan to ensure that all children whose mothers have been executed are released into a safe care environment; and that it seek technical assistance from UNICEF and other relevant partners in this regard.226

x.2.3. The Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

The Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in its consideration of Sudan’s State report in 2015 considered the status of women and the need for capacity building of legislators. The Committee was troubled “at the inferior status of women in the family and in the society in the State party, which is reflected in several legal provisions limiting their rights” and also “the negative impact of this status on women’s access to education, employment, health (including women’s autonomy in regard to their health) and vulnerability to violence”227

The Committee recommended Sudan to raise awareness among lawmakers of equality between men and women and repeal laws that do not conform to article 3 of the Covenant and promote women’s equal representation in public office and decision-making bodies.228 Sudan was encouraged to ratify the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women.229

x.3. UN Charter Mechanisms

The Independent Expert on the situation of human rights in the Sudan highlighted the need for appropriate judicial training in Sudan especially at the lower bench of the judiciary, which is closer to the population.230

After her mission to Sudan in 2016, the Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences issued a report and recommendations echoing this report’s findings. She observed that many women were being “sentenced and incarcerated for minor crimes.”231 She was disturbed that imprisoned pregnant women were giving birth without adequate access to medical care.232 The Special
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Rapporteur was informed of alleged cases of arbitrary detention of women human rights defenders, including students and women belonging to ethnic and/or religious minority groups233 “and their intimidation and torture and other cruel inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, including being raped in some instances.” Women were also “allegedly threatened upon their release, and warned about reporting the violations or seeking medical care.”234

The Special Rapporteur expressed her concern about the public order laws, which she considered to be disproportionately applied against women, and “is used to regulate freedom of dress, movement, association, work and study.” She noted the violation of due process laws for public order offences and expressed alarm “about its application to poor and vulnerable women who work in informal sectors of the economy.”235

The Special Rapporteur recommended that Sudan “follow up on all recommendations of the legislative review process regarding laws that discriminate against women and that the government should protect human rights defenders from harassment, intimidation, arbitrary arrest and detention, as well as torture and ill-treatment.”236 She advised that the Government should repeal laws that allow for capital punishment or punishment that includes lashes for women sentenced under the Criminal Act.

By September 2017, Sudan had not complied with the recommendations issued by the various bodies and mechanisms.

The atmosphere created by the application of the public order laws is one of fear, apprehension and self-censorship as women are never aware of when or for what they might be arrested. The criminalisation of daily aspects of women’s lives contravenes Sudan’s obligations to guarantee non-discrimination, equality before the law, freedom from torture and ill-treatment and due process guarantees as provided for under regional and international instruments and, to some extent, Sudan’s 2005 Interim Constitution.

The public order regime is hinged on the control of women and the wider population through the discriminatory application of vague legislation. Its enforcement is a hindrance to Sudan’s national development as it prevents women - a large part of its population – from full participation in public life.

Sudanese authorities should engage in an honest national debate about the negative impact of the public order regime on various aspects of Sudanese life. Stakeholders should consider exploring ways of separating public order principles from contemporary religious interpretation. Any debates for change should involve and include groups most affected by the public order regime’s application.

233 Ibid, 32.
235 Ibid, para. 85.
236 Ibid, para. 105.
Recommendations

XII.1. To the Government of Sudan

Law and institutional reform

- Comply with recommendations from regional and international human rights mechanisms
- Take immediate measures to end the activities of the Kasha of women and girls in public raids and their random pickup and detention
- In consultation with and participation of all relevant stakeholders such as civil society, the judiciary, relevant ministries and affected communities, establish a committee to review all public order laws to determine their consistency with constitutional, regional and international human rights obligations
- Repeal all public order laws and provisions found to be in breach of Sudan's obligations as recognised by regional and international human rights mechanisms
- Review the national strategy on women's rights to ensure it covers the protection of women's rights in the criminal and public order justice systems
- Carry out a country-wide mapping of the application of public order laws to date and document experiences of individuals and communities affected
- Grant the committee established to review public order laws the mandate to assess the compatibility of public order institutions such as courts and police with Sudan's constitutional, regional and international human rights obligations
- Undertake mandatory training for judges, prosecutors, police officers and other law enforcement officials with support from civil society organisations on the principles of equality and non-discrimination, and Sudan's regional and international human rights obligations to protect women's rights
- Ensure systematic and mandatory capacity building for judges, prosecutors, lawyers, police officers and healthcare providers, to ensure all victims of violence arising from the application of the public order laws are approached in a gender-sensitive manner.

Fair trial guarantees

- Pending the repeal of the public order laws, ensure that pre-trial and trial rights are guaranteed before public order courts in accordance with constitutional provisions and international standards.
- Pending repeal of relevant legislation, ensure that corporal punishment is no longer imposed as a sentence by any court, and hold accountable any officials who inflict corporal punishment.

- Provide adequate forms of reparation for any individuals subjected to corporal punishment, including access to medical treatment where necessary.
- Build the capacity of the judiciary and familiarise relevant officials with principles of regional and international human rights standards to ensure their professionalism, independence and impartiality.
- Develop sentencing guidelines for judges to end discriminatory sentencing practices

Improvement of prison conditions

- Ensure that conditions in detention centres comply with international standards, particularly the United Nations Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-custodial Measures for Women Offenders, and that women have full access to healthcare, including sexual and reproductive health services, nutrition and hygiene
- Ensure that women in prison are provided daily with necessities such as adequate food, clothes, and medical supplies
- Ensure that pregnant prisoners are provided with required medicine and food, and breast-feeding women with milk, food, and soap
- Provide psychological, health, and other in-kind support for women in prison
- Protect women prisoners from rape, sexual assault and other violence in prison by prison staff and other prisoners by putting in place complaints mechanisms that are gender sensitive and accessible for victims of sexual violence and other forms of violence in prison
- Provide adequate forms of reparation for any victims of rape, sexual violence and other violence in prison, including access to medical treatment where necessary
- Ensure that the full range of needs of children in prison with their mothers are met, including medical and psychological treatment. Special provision should be made for mothers prior to admission, so they can organise alternative childcare for children left outside
- Engage with prison authorities and relevant line ministries to update existing prison internal rules and regulations.

Access to justice for victims

- Ensure victims of torture and ill-treatment, including sexual violence and other forms of violence, have access to an effective remedy and full reparation
- Investigate alleged abuses and hold those responsible to account
- Increase the numbers of women police officers and provide regular gender training and develop anti sexual harassment laws and policies to protect women and girls when approaching law enforcement institutions
- Ensure victims have access to comprehensive medical treatment, mental healthcare, and psychosocial support by appropriately trained professionals
- Adopt gender-sensitive procedures to investigate sexual violence, conducting training and adopting gender-sensitive codes of conduct and protocols for public order police, prison staff, judges and prosecutors
• Protect victims and witnesses from reprisals whenever they seek to access justice or cooperate with the judiciary

• Repeal relevant legislative provisions providing officials with immunity for acts such as torture, rape and other violent acts

**Development of programmes to empower vulnerable women**

• Connect refugee, internally displaced and migrant women detainees to specialised support

• Collaborate with civil society and development partners to support and build the capacity of vulnerable women with skills and sustainable livelihood opportunities.

• Ensure that South Sudanese, Ethiopian and Eritrean refugees and other migrants are strategically connected to UNHCR, IOM, and other organisations working with migrants

• Work with stakeholders and international partners to explore and develop initiatives to support, empower and build the capacity of women from marginalised communities to understand and claim their rights.

**Awareness raising, sensitization on principles of equality and non-discrimination**

• In collaboration with civil society and other stakeholders, craft public awareness campaigns on equality and non-discrimination that target persons of all genders.

**Ratification of regional and international human rights instruments**

• Ratify the Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading and Treatment or Punishment and its Optional Protocol

• Ratify the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women without reservation, thereby accepting the internationally accepted minimum standards pertaining to women's human rights

• Ratify the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People's Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa

**XII.2. To the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights**

• Continue engaging with the Government of Sudan on:

  • the need for reform of public order laws, institutions and provisions
  • violations committed as a result of the public order laws’ application and Sudan’s obligation to afford victims with their right to redress
  • the protection of women's rights in Sudan

• Provide technical assistance to Sudan on specific issues pertaining to the protection of women’s rights, fair trial rights and prison reform

• Follow up with Sudan on the failure to implement the Commission's decisions and recommendations.